LPT–Orsay–06–99
hep-th/0612247

arXiv:hep-th/0612247v3 24 Apr 2007

Anomalous dimensions of high-spin operators beyond the leading order

B. Basso, G.P. Korchemsky

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique[1], *Université de Paris XI*
91405 Orsay Cédex, France

Abstract

Anomalous dimensions of Wilson operators with large Lorentz spin scale logarithmically with the spin. Recent multi-loop QCD calculations of twist-two anomalous dimensions revealed the existence of interesting structure of the subleading corrections suppressed by powers of the Lorentz spin. We argue that this structure is a manifestation of the 'self-tuning' property of the multi-loop anomalous dimensions – in a conformal gauge theory, the anomalous dimension of Wilson operators is a function of their conformal spin which is modified in higher loops by an amount proportional to the anomalous dimension. Making use of the parity property of this function and incorporating the beta-function contribution, we demonstrate the existence of (infinite number of) relations between subleading corrections to the twist-two anomalous dimensions in QCD and its supersymmetric extensions. They imply that the subleading corrections to the anomalous dimensions suppressed by odd powers of the conformal spin can be expressed in terms of the lower-loops corrections suppressed by smaller even powers of the spin. We show that these relations hold true in QCD to all loops in the large β_0 limit. In the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory, we employ the AdS/CFT correspondence to argue that the same relations survive in the strong coupling regime for higher-twist scalar operators dual to a folded string rotating on the $AdS_3\times S^1$.

to the memory of Alexander Nikolaevich Vasil'ev

[1]Unité Mixte de Recherche du CNRS (UMR 8627).

1. Introduction

Success of QCD as the theory of strong interactions relies in part on the possibility to predict the scale dependence of various physical observables. In the most prominent example of deeply inelastic scattering, this dependence is governed by anomalous dimensions of composite Wilson operators that arise in the operator product expansion of conserved currents. The anomalous dimensions depend on the coupling constant as well as on the quantum numbers of operators (Lorentz spin, isotopic charge) and their properties reflect the symmetries of the underlying gauge theory. In the maximally supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory, the gauge/string correspondence allows one to relate the anomalous dimensions at strong coupling to the energies of strings propagating on the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background [1]. In the case of QCD, due to lack of a similar dual stringy description, one can only calculate the anomalous dimensions at weak coupling as series in the coupling constant.

At present, the most advanced QCD calculations of anomalous dimensions have been performed to three-loop accuracy for the Wilson operators of twist two [2, 3]. The resulting expressions for multi-loop anomalous dimensions are complicated functions of the Lorentz spin N carried by the Wilson operators but they simplify significantly for large N. In this limit, for $N \gg 1$, the anomalous dimensions scale logarithmically $\gamma(N) \sim \ln N$ with subleading corrections running in inverse powers of N [4]. The explicit three-loop calculation [2, 3] revealed the existence of interesting structure of the subleading corrections. It turned out that, to three-loop accuracy, correction $\sim 1/N$ to the twist-two anomalous dimension can be expressed in terms of the leading $\sim N^0$ correction evaluated to two loops only (MVV relation). Recently, it was shown in Refs. [5] that this relation can be explained by modifying the evolution (renormalization group) equation in such a way [6] as to preserve the reciprocity relation between its solutions (see Eq. (1.2) below).

In this paper, we shall argue that the MVV relation is a manifestation of the following 'self-tuning' property of the multi-loop anomalous dimensions [7] – in a conformal gauge theory, the anomalous dimension is a function of the conformal spin of the Wilson operator which is modified at higher loops by an amount proportional to the anomalous dimension. Making use of the parity property of this function and incorporating the beta-function contribution, we shall demonstrate the existence of (infinite number of) MVV like relations between subleading corrections to the twist-two anomalous dimensions at large N in QCD and its supersymmetric extensions. We shall show that subleading corrections to the anomalous dimensions suppressed by odd powers of $1/N$ are not independent and can be expressed in terms of coefficients accompanying smaller *even* powers of $1/N$. In the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, we shall employ the AdS/CFT correspondence to argue that the same relations survive in the strong coupling regime for scalar operators of higher twist.

The anomalous dimensions of twist-two operators govern the scale dependence of nonperturbative parton (quark, gluon) distribution functions in the deeply inelastic scattering (DIS) [8, 9, 10]. They are related to the parton space-like (s) splitting functions $P_S(z)$ through the Mellin transform

$$\gamma_S(N) = -\int_0^1 dz\, z^{N-1} P_S(z)\,. \tag{1.1}$$

The function $P_S(z)$ defined in this way has a clear physical meaning. It defines the probability for a parton to split into another parton carrying the momentum fraction z.[1] One encoun-

[1] The splitting functions cease to have a probabilistic interpretation at higher twists [11].

ters another set of nonperturbative parton fragmentation functions in hadron production in the $e^+ e^-$ −annihilation which is just the cross-channel of the DIS process (see review [12] and references therein). The scale dependence of the fragmentation functions is governed by the time-like (T) splitting functions $P_T(z)$ whose moments provide yet another anomalous dimensions $\gamma_T(N) = -\int_0^1 dz\, z^{N-1} P_T(z)$. In distinction with the parton distribution functions, the fragmentation functions do not admit the operator product expansion and, as a consequence, their anomalous dimensions $\gamma_T(N)$ are *not* related to composite local Wilson operators. The two functions are related however by the crossing symmetry. This suggests to write down the following reciprocity relations between the space-like, $P_S(z)$, and time-like, $P_T(z)$, splitting functions

$$P_T(z) = P_S(z)\,, \qquad P_T(z) = -z\, P_S(1/z)\,, \tag{1.2}$$

the so-called Gribov-Lipatov [13] and Drell-Levy-Yan [14] relations. Here in the first relation the two functions are equated within their physical region $0 \le z < 1$, while in the second relation the space-like splitting function is analytically continued through $z = 1$. In general, the two relations in (1.2) are independent on each other. However, if they were fulfilled simultaneously, one would obtain a 'strong' reciprocity relation for $P(z) = P_T(z) = P_S(z)$

$$P(z) = -z\, P(1/z)\,. \tag{1.3}$$

It is known [15, 16, 17, 18, 19] that this relation is satisfied to one loop only, while both relations in (1.2) are violated to two loops. [2]

Another set of relations for the twist-two anomalous dimensions comes from a space-time picture of parton (quark, gluon) splitting in the space-like (distribution) and time-like (fragmentation) processes. In the latter case, imposing the condition of the angular ordering for successive partonic splittings one obtains the following remarkable functional equation for the leading asymptotic behaviour of the time-like gluon anomalous dimension $\gamma_T(N)$ at *small* N [21]

$$\gamma_T(N) = \gamma_S(N - \gamma_T(N))\,, \qquad \gamma_S(N) = -\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi N} + \dots\,, \tag{1.4}$$

where ellipses stand for subleading terms as $N \to 0$ and $\alpha_s/N^2 = g_s^2/(4\pi N^2) =$ fixed. The relation (1.4) leads to a quadratic equation for $\gamma_T(N)$ whose solution resums the leading corrections $\sim \alpha_s^n/N^{2n-1}$ to $\gamma_T(N)$ for all $n \ge 1$. It has been proposed in Refs. [6, 5] that a relation similar to (1.4) should also hold at *large* N [3]

$$\gamma_\sigma(N) = f\big(N - \tfrac{1}{2}\sigma\gamma_\sigma(N)\big)\,, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\sigma = -$ for the space-like and $\sigma = +$ for the time-like anomalous dimensions, $\gamma_-(N) = \gamma_S(N)$ and $\gamma_+(N) = \gamma_T(N)$. The function $f(N)$ is assumed to be the same for $\sigma = \pm$ and, most importantly, its large N expansion takes the form

$$f(N) = A\,[\psi(N+1) + \gamma_{\rm E}] + B + 0 \cdot N^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2})\,, \tag{1.6}$$

[2] Notice that the second relation in (1.2) still holds true to two loops for the so-called physical evolution kernels governing the scale dependence of the DIS structure functions and the hadron fragmentation functions [20].

[3] Due to different conventions for the anomalous dimension (see Eq. (2.1) below), $\gamma_\sigma(N)$ differs from similar expression in Ref. [5] by the overall factor $(-1/2)$.

where $\psi(x) = d\ln\Gamma(x)/dx$ and $\gamma_{\rm E}$ is the Euler constant. Then, the relation (1.5) leads to the following expression for the twist-two (nonsinglet quark and diagonal elements of the singlet mixing matrix) anomalous dimensions at large N

$$\gamma_\sigma(N) = A\ln\bar{N} + B + C_\sigma N^{-1}\ln\bar{N} + \big(D_\sigma + \tfrac{1}{2}A\big)N^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2}), \tag{1.7}$$

with $\bar{N} = N\,{\rm e}^{\gamma_{\rm E}}$. Here the leading term is related to the universal cusp anomalous dimension [4]

$$A = 2\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)\,, \tag{1.8}$$

the $B-$coefficient is the same for the space-like and time-like distributions but it depends on the quantum numbers of partons (flavour, spin projection). From (1.5) and (1.6) one finds the remaining coefficients as [5]

$$C_\sigma^{(\rm MVV)} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\sigma A^2(\alpha_s)\,, \qquad D_\sigma = -\tfrac{1}{2}\sigma A(\alpha_s)B(\alpha_s)\,. \tag{1.9}$$

The expression for C_σ is in agreement with explicit three-loop QCD result for the space-like [2, 3] and (nonsinglet) time-like anomalous dimensions [22], while for D_σ the mismatch is proportional to the beta-function

$$D_\sigma^{(\rm MVV)} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\sigma A(\alpha_s)B(\alpha_s) - \tfrac{1}{2}A(\alpha_s)\beta(\alpha_s)\,. \tag{1.10}$$

As was emphasized in Refs. [2, 5], this calls for a further structural explanation of subleading $1/N$ corrections to the anomalous dimensions (1.7) at large N and, more generally, for a better understanding of the origin of the functional relations (1.4) and (1.5).

As we will see momentarily, for the space-like anomalous dimensions $\gamma_S(N)$, the relation (1.5) is ultimately tied to conformal invariance of a classical four-dimensional Yang-Mills theory. Moreover, the conformal invariance allows one to extend the relation (1.5) to anomalous dimensions of the so-called quasipartonic operators [23] of arbitrary twist L. A distinguished feature of these operators is that they are built from exactly L fundamental fields of twist 1 and from an arbitrary number of covariant derivatives projected onto the light-cone. The twist-two operators correspond to $L = 2$.

The classical Yang-Mills Lagrangian is invariant under conformal transformations but this symmetry is broken on the quantum level unless the beta-function vanishes to all loops (see review [24] and references therein). If the conformal symmetry was exact (as in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory), the quasipartonic operators could be classified according to representations of the collinear $SL(2;\mathbb{R})$ subgroup of the full $SO(2,4)$ conformal group [25]. Conformal invariance ensures that the operators belonging to different $SL(2;\mathbb{R})$ multiplets cannot mix under renormalization and their anomalous dimension, $\gamma_S(N)$, depends on the conformal $SL(2;\mathbb{R})$ spin of the multiplet. By definition, the conformal spin of the quasipartonic operator of twist L equals $j = \frac{1}{2}(N+\ell)$ with N and ℓ being its Lorentz spin and the scaling dimension, respectively. The former is protected from perturbative corrections, whereas the latter equals $N+L$ to the lowest order in the coupling constant and receives anomalous contribution $\gamma_S(N)$ at higher orders. As a result, the conformal spin of quasipartonic operators gets modified in higher loops as [26, 27, 7]

$$j_{\rm bare} = N + \tfrac{1}{2}L \quad \mapsto \quad j = N + \tfrac{1}{2}L + \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_S(N)\,. \tag{1.11}$$

Then, the conformal symmetry implies that the anomalous dimension of the quasipartonic operator is a function of j, or equivalently

$$\gamma_S(N) = f^{(\beta=0)}\big(N + \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_S(N)\big)\,, \tag{1.12}$$

where the scaling function $f(N)$ depends on twist L and other quantum numbers of the operator. Here the superscript indicates that the function $f^{(\beta=0)}$ is defined in gauge theory with vanishing beta-function. The relation (1.12) coincides with the first relation in (1.5) in the space-like case $\sigma = -$.

In gauge theory with nonvanishing beta-function, the relation (1.12) should be modified to incorporate the additional conformal symmetry breaking corrections. The latter are known to be renormalization scheme dependent. The analysis can be simplified by renormalizing the Wilson operators in the dimensional regularization scheme (DREG) with $d = 4 - 2\varepsilon$.[4] In this case, the coupling constant acquires a nonvanishing dimension and the beta-function in $(4 - 2\varepsilon)-$dimensional gauge theory is given by

$$\beta_\varepsilon(\alpha_s) = -2\varepsilon + \beta(\alpha_s)\,. \tag{1.13}$$

A crucial observation is that $\beta_\varepsilon(\alpha_s)$ vanishes at $\varepsilon_{\rm cr} = \beta(\alpha_s)/2$ and, therefore, the gauge theory is conformal in $d_{\rm cr} = 4 - 2\varepsilon_{\rm cr}$ dimensions. This opens up the possibility to calculate the anomalous dimensions within the $\varepsilon-$expansion using the conformal field theory technique as pioneered in Refs. [28, 29]. As before, the quasipartonic operators can be classified according to representation of the $SL(2;\mathbb{R})$ collinear subgroup of the conformal group in $d_{\rm cr}-$dimensions and their anomalous dimension is a function of the conformal spin $j_{\rm cr} = \frac{1}{2}(N + \ell_{\rm cr})$. The only difference as compared with the $d = 4$ case is that for $\varepsilon_{\rm cr} \neq 0$ the scaling dimensions of fundamental fields get shifted by $(-\varepsilon_{\rm cr})$ so that the scaling dimension of the quasipartonic operator built from L fields takes the form $\ell_{\rm cr} = N + L - L\varepsilon_{\rm cr} + \gamma_S(N)$. Then, the conformal invariance in $d_{\rm cr}-$dimensions implies that the anomalous dimension of twist$-L$ operators in $d = 4-$dimensional gauge theory is a function of the conformal spin $j_{\rm cr}$

$$\gamma_S(N) = f^{(\beta\neq0)}\left(N + \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_S(N) - \tfrac{1}{4}L\beta(\alpha_s)\right)\,. \tag{1.14}$$

It is important to stress that, in distinction with $f^{(\beta=0)}(N)$, the function $f^{(\beta\neq0)}(N)$ depends on the renormalization scheme. Invoking the same arguments that led to (1.5), one can extend the relation (1.14) to the time-like anomalous dimension

$$\gamma_T(N) = f^{(\beta\neq0)}\left(N - \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_T(N) - \tfrac{1}{4}L\beta(\alpha_s)\right)\,. \tag{1.15}$$

The equations (1.14) and (1.15) generalize the relations (1.5) proposed in [6, 5]. They relate the space-like and time-like anomalous dimension of twist L to the same function $f^{(\beta\neq0)}(N)$ and incorporate the beta-function contribution.

Conformal symmetry allows one to relate the space-like anomalous dimension $\gamma_S(N)$ to yet another function $f(N)$ but it does not tell us much about the properties of the latter. Therefore, to make use of the functional relation (1.14) it has to be supplemented with additional relation for the scaling function $f(N)$. As a hint, let us consider the twist-two anomalous dimension (1.7) and examine the first few terms in the large N expansion of $f(N)$, Eq. (1.6). Their substitution into (1.14) and (1.15) yields (for $L = 2$) the large N expansion of the space-like and time-like anomalous dimensions (1.7) with the $D-$coefficient matching the exact three-loop result (1.10). Notice that it is due to zero value of the coefficient in front of N^{-1} in the right-hand side of (1.6) that the $C-$ and $D-$coefficients parameterizing subleading $\sim N^{-1}$ corrections to the anomalous dimension can be expressed in terms of the leading coefficients, Eqs. (1.9) and (1.10). The

[4] In SYM theories, to preserve the supersymmetry, one uses the dimensional reduction scheme (DRED) instead.

question arises whether similar relations also exist for the subleading $\sim N^{-n}$ corrections (for $n \geq 2$). We shall argue that the answer is positive and it relies on the following remarkable property of the function $f(N)$: the large N expansion of the function $f(N)$ only runs in *integer negative* powers of the parameter

$$J^2 = N(N+1)\,, \tag{1.16}$$

which has the meaning of a 'bare' quadratic Casimir of the collinear $SL(2;\mathbb{R})$ group. For twist-two operators, the same property can be expressed as (with $\bar{J} = J\,\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{\rm E}}$)

$$f(N) = \Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)\ln\bar{J}^2 + f^{(0)} + f^{(1)}/J^2 + f^{(2)}/J^4 + \mathcal{O}(1/J^6)\,, \tag{1.17}$$

where $f^{(k)}$ (with $k \geq 0$) are given by series in α_s with the coefficients depending on $\ln\bar{J}$. The relation (1.17) implies that each term of the asymptotic series (1.17) is invariant (modulo contribution from $\ln\bar{J}$) under $J \to -J$, or equivalently $N \to -1-N$. In what follows we shall refer to (1.17) as a *parity preserving* asymptotic series. [5] We will show that the relations (1.14), (1.15) and (1.17) are in a perfect agreement with available two- and three-loop results for various anomalous dimensions in QCD and in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories.

The relation (1.17) has a number of important consequences. Firstly, going back from the $N-$space to the $z-$representation, $f(N) = -\int_0^1 dz\, z^{N-1} P_f(z)$, one finds that (1.17) is translated into the reciprocity condition (1.3) for the splitting function $P_f(z)$. Secondly, substituting (1.17) into (1.14) and (1.15), one obtains that the coefficients in front of N^{-2n} and N^{-2n-1} in the large N expansion of the twist-two anomalous dimensions are related to *the same* coefficients $f^{(m)}$ with $0 \leq m \leq n$. Excluding the latter, one gets an infinite set of relations between the former (odd and even) coefficients, including the relations (1.9) and (1.10) for $n = 0$. Moreover, re-expanding the anomalous dimensions at large N in inverse powers of $J = \sqrt{N(N+1)}$, one finds that corrections suppressed by odd powers of J can be expressed in terms of the coefficients accompanying smaller even powers of J to less number of loops.

The relations (1.14) and (1.17) are universal properties of the twist-two operators in gauge theories – they hold true both in QCD and in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories with even number of supercharges $\mathcal{N} = 0, 2, 4$, to three loops at least. In $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory the function $f(N)$ develops an additional square-root singularity $\sim \sqrt{1/J^2}$ due to degeneracy of the parity-even and parity-odd anomalous dimensions in the large N limit. Finally, the relation (1.17) can be also tested to all loops: In QCD, we will determine the function $f(N)$ in the so-called large β_0-limit and show that it verifies (1.17) indeed. In the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory, we will apply the gauge/string correspondence to evaluate $f(N)$ in the strong coupling regime for the special class of scalar operators of higher twist dual to a folded string rotating on the $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^1$ part of the target space of the type IIB string theory [30, 31].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we apply well-known one-loop expressions for various twist-two anomalous dimensions in QCD and in SYM theories to justify the parity preserving relation (1.17) and to establish its connection with the reciprocity relation (1.3). In Sect. 3, we use explicit results for two-loop and three-loop anomalous dimensions available in the literature to verify the relations (1.14), (1.15) and (1.17) beyond the leading order. Then, we make use of the parity preserving property (1.17) to find the relations between different terms in the large N expansion of the anomalous dimensions analogous to (1.9) and (1.10). In Sect. 4,

[5] The same property would not be manifest if one replaced J^2 by its expression (1.16) and re-expanded $f(N)$ in powers of $1/N$. The resulting series for $f(N)$ involves both even and odd powers of $1/N$ and its first three terms match (1.6) for $f^{(0)} = B$.

we argue that the property (1.17) holds true in QCD for twist-two anomalous dimensions to all loops in the large β_0 limit. In Sect. 5, we employ the AdS/CFT correspondence to determine the function $f(N)$ in the planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ theory in the strong coupling regime for scalar operators of higher twist. Section 6 contains concluding remarks. Some details of our calculations are presented in the Appendix.

2. Symmetries of twist-two anomalous dimensions

Let us start with the definition of the space-like anomalous dimensions in a generic four-dimensional Yang-Mills theory with the $SU(N_c)$ gauge group. In general, the Wilson operators with the same Lorentz spin N mix under renormalization and verify the Callan-Symanzik equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu}+\beta(\lambda)\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \lambda}\right)\mathcal{O}_N^{(a)}(0)=-\gamma^{ab}(N)\mathcal{O}_N^{(b)}(0)\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where the coupling constant λ is defined slightly differently in QCD and in SYM theory

$$\lambda^{(\rm QCD)}=\frac{g_s^2}{8\pi^2}\equiv\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\,,\qquad \lambda^{(\rm SYM)}=\frac{g^2N_c}{8\pi^2}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Here the mixing matrix is given by a series in the coupling constant

$$\gamma(N)=\lambda\gamma_0(N)+\lambda^2\gamma_1(N)+\ldots\,, \tag{2.3}$$

with $\gamma_k(N)$ being matrices, and the beta-function is defined as

$$\frac{d\ln\lambda}{d\ln\mu}=\beta(\lambda)=-\beta_0\lambda-\beta_1\lambda^2+\mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)\,. \tag{2.4}$$

The beta-function coefficients are given in QCD by

$$\beta_0^{(\rm QCD)}=\frac{11}{3}\,N_c-\frac{2}{3}\,n_f\,,\qquad \beta_1^{(\rm QCD)}=\frac{17}{3}\,N_c^2-\frac{5}{3}\,N_cn_f-C_Fn_f \tag{2.5}$$

with n_f the number of quark flavours and $C_F=(N_c^2-1)/(2N_c)$ the quadratic Casimir in the fundamental representation of the $SU(N_c)$ group. In the SYM theory with $\mathcal{N}$ supercharges one has

$$\beta_0^{(\rm SYM)}=(4-\mathcal{N})\,,\qquad \beta_1^{(\rm SYM)}=(2-\mathcal{N})(4-\mathcal{N})\,. \tag{2.6}$$

For $\mathcal{N}=2$ the exact beta-function is given by the one-loop expression while for $\mathcal{N}=4$ it vanishes to all loops and the corresponding gauge theory is conformal.

2.1. Nonsinglet anomalous dimensions in QCD

Let us first consider nonsinglet twist-two quark operators in QCD. They take the form

$$\mathcal{O}_N^{(\rm ns)}(0)=\bar q_{\alpha,i}\;T^a_{ij}\,\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}D_+^{N-1}q_{\beta,j}(0)\,, \tag{2.7}$$

where $q_{\beta,j}$ denotes the quark field component carrying the flavour j and the spinor index β. Also, $D_+=\partial_++igA_+$ is the light-cone component of the covariant derivative. The matrices

T^a_{ij} stand for the generators of the flavour $SU(n_f)$ group while the spinor matrices $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ serve to select the so-called "good" (twist-one) components of quark and antiquark fields either with a definite helicity, or with a transverse polarization [24]. A distinguished feature of the operators (2.7) is that they can not mix under renormalization with other operators but only with the operators $\partial_+^n \mathcal{O}^{(\rm ns)}_{N-n}(0)$ containing total derivatives. This mixing can be avoided by going over from the operators $\mathcal{O}^{(\rm ns)}_N(0)$ to their forward matrix elements with respect to some reference state $\langle \mathcal{O}^{(\rm ns)}_N(0)\rangle$.

Depending on the choice of the $\Gamma-$matrices in (2.7) one can distinguish three different twist-two operators: unpolarized ($\Gamma = \gamma_+$), longitudinally polarized ($\Gamma = \gamma_+\gamma_5$) and transversity ($\Gamma = \gamma_+\gamma_\perp$) operators.[6] To the lowest order in the coupling constant their anomalous dimensions are given by well-known expressions [23]

$$\gamma_0(N) = C_F\left[4\psi(N+1) + 4\gamma_{\rm E} - 3 - \frac{1+\eta}{N(N+1)}\right], \tag{2.8}$$

where $\eta = 1$ for the unpolarized and longitudinally polarized operators and $\eta = -1$ for the transversity operator. Here $\psi(x) = d\ln\Gamma(x)/dx$ and $\gamma_{\rm E} = -\psi(1)$ is the Euler constant. In the momentum fraction representation (1.1), the corresponding splitting functions read

$$P_0(z) = C_F\left[\frac{4z}{(1-z)_+} + 3\delta(1-z) + (1-z)(1+\eta)\right], \tag{2.9}$$

where the '+' distribution is defined in a standard way

$$\int_0^1 dz\,\varphi(z)\frac{1}{(1-z)_+} = \int_0^1 dz\frac{\varphi(z)-\varphi(1)}{1-z} \tag{2.10}$$

with $\varphi(z)$ being an arbitrary test function.

As was already mentioned in the Introduction, to one-loop order the space-like and time-like splitting functions satisfy the reciprocity relations (1.2). Indeed, one verifies that for $z \neq 1$ the splitting function $P_0(z)$, Eq. (2.9), fulfills the relation (1.3). The same property in the $N-$space finds its manifestation in the asymptotic behaviour of the anomalous dimension $\gamma_0(N)$ for large N. In this limit, the anomalous dimension (2.8) scales as $\gamma_0(N) = 4C_F\ln N + \ldots$ with subleading corrections running in powers of $1/N$. However, changing the expansion parameter from N to $J^2 = N(N+1)$ and expanding the anomalous dimensions in inverse powers of $1/J$ one finds that the subleading corrections run in *even* powers of $1/J$ only

$$\gamma_0(N) = C_F\left[2\,\ln\bar{J}^2 - 3 - \left(\tfrac{1}{3}+\eta\right)J^{-2} - \tfrac{2}{15}\,J^{-4} + \tfrac{16}{315}\,J^{-6} + \mathcal{O}\left(J^{-8}\right)\right], \tag{2.11}$$

where $\bar{J} = J\,{\rm e}^{\gamma_{\rm E}}$. As follows from (1.14), the functions $\gamma_S(N)$ and $f(N)$ coincide to the lowest order in the coupling constant, $f(N) = \lambda\gamma_0(N) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$, but differ from each other starting from two loops. Matching (2.11) into (1.17), one can identify one-loop contribution to the cusp anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)$ and to the coefficients $f^{(n)}$.

Each term of the expansion (2.11) is invariant under substitution $J \to -J$, or equivalently $N \to -1-N$, whereas the exact expression (2.8) is not

$$\gamma_0(N) - \gamma_0(-N-1) = -4C_F\,\pi\cot(\pi N)\,. \tag{2.12}$$

[6] In the case of transversity, the operators (2.7) evolve autonomously even for flavour singlet quark distributions. The same holds true for the linearly polarized gluon distribution [32].

Still, the two relations, Eqs. (2.11) and (2.12), are consistent with each other – the one-loop anomalous dimension (2.8) has (Regge) poles at integer negative N and expansion (2.11) is only well-defined for $\mathrm{Re}\, N > 0$. Regge singularities of $\gamma_0(N)$ lead to a factorial growth of the expansion coefficients in the right-hand side of (2.11) (they scale as $\sim (-1)^n(2n)!/(n(2\pi J)^{2n})$ for $n \gg 1$). [7]

Let us now show that the validity of the reciprocity relation (1.3) for the splitting function and the absence of odd powers of $1/J$ in the asymptotic expansion of the anomalous dimension (1.1) are in the one-to-one correspondence with each other. To this end, one changes the integration variable in (1.1) as $z = \mathrm{e}^{-x/j}$ with $j = N + \frac{1}{2} = \left(J^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right)^{1/2}$ and evaluates derivative $\gamma'(N) = \partial_N \gamma(N)$ as

$$\gamma'(N) = \frac{1}{j^2} \int_0^\infty dx\ \mathrm{e}^{-x} \left[x\, \mathrm{e}^{x/(2j)}\, P(\mathrm{e}^{-x/j}) \right]. \tag{2.13}$$

Expanding the integrand at large j and integrating term-by-term one can obtain an asymptotic expansion of $\gamma'(N)$ in powers of $1/j$. If asymptotic expansion of $\gamma(N)$ run in even powers of $1/J$, or equivalently $1/j$, then similar expansion of the derivative $\gamma'(N)$ would run in odd powers of $1/j$ leading to

$$x\, \mathrm{e}^{x/(2j)}\, P(\mathrm{e}^{-x/j}) = -x\, \mathrm{e}^{-x/(2j)}\, P(\mathrm{e}^{x/j})\,. \tag{2.14}$$

For $x > 0$ and $z = \mathrm{e}^{-x/j}$ this relation is equivalent to the reciprocity condition (1.3). In the similar manner, if the splitting function verified the reciprocity relation (1.3), the corresponding anomalous dimension would be given at large N by the parity preserving asymptotic series (1.17).

Explicit calculation shows [15, 17] that for the nonsinglet twist-two quark operators the reciprocity relation (1.3) breaks down starting from two loops and, as a consequence, the asymptotic expansion of the nonsinglet anomalous dimension involves odd powers of $1/J$ at higher loops. We will demonstrate in Sect. 3 that the function $f(N)$ related to the nonsinglet anomalous dimension through (1.14) still possesses the parity preserving property (1.17) to three loops at least.

2.2. Singlet anomalous dimensions in QCD

As the next step, we consider flavour singlet quark and gluon operators of twist two

$$\mathcal{O}_N^{(q)}(0) = \bar{q}_{\alpha,i}\, \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} D_+^{N-1} q_{\beta,i}(0)\,, \qquad \mathcal{O}_N^{(g)}(0) = \mathrm{tr}\left[F_{\mu+}\, \Pi^{\mu\nu} D_+^{N-2} F_{\nu+}(0) \right], \tag{2.15}$$

where $F_{\mu+} = F^a_{\mu+} t^a = \frac{i}{g}[D_\mu, D_+]$ is the light-like component of the gauge field strength tensor and t^a are the generators of the $SU(N_c)$ gauge group in the fundamental (quark) representation. As before, the spinor matrix $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ and the Lorentz tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ select 'good' (twist-one) components of the quark and gauge fields. For $\Gamma = \gamma_+$ and $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}$ the matrix elements of the operators (2.15) define unpolarized quark and gluon distributions, while for $\Gamma = \gamma_+\gamma_5$ and $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu+-}$ they define longitudinally polarized distributions.

The operators (2.15) mix under renormalization with each other and with operators involving total derivatives. As before, the latter can be eliminated by going over to the forward matrix elements in (2.15). Then, the matrix elements $\langle \mathcal{O}_N^{(q)}(0) \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}_N^{(g)}(0) \rangle$ verify the evolution equation (2.1) with the mixing matrix $\gamma^{ab}(N)$ (for $a, b = q, g$) known to two loops for the polarized distributions [33] and to three loops for the unpolarized distributions [3]. For our purposes, we

[7] The asymptotic series (2.11) can be resummed using the Borel transform $\gamma_0(N) = \int_0^\infty dx\ \mathrm{e}^{-x/\alpha}\, \tilde{\gamma}(x)$, with $\alpha = 1/N$ being the expansion parameter. Indeed, for $z = \mathrm{e}^{-x}$ this relation coincides with the definition of the moments (1.1) provided that $\tilde{\gamma}(x) = P_0(\mathrm{e}^{-x})$.

have to examine the eigenvalues of the mixing matrix, γ_q and γ_g. They can be found as solutions to the characteristic equation

$$(\gamma_a)^2 - \gamma_a X(N) + Y(N) = 0\,, \tag{2.16}$$

with the functions $X(N)$ and $Y(N)$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} X(N) &= \mathrm{tr}\,\gamma(N) = \gamma^{qq} + \gamma^{gg}\,, \\ Y(N) &= \det\gamma(N) = \gamma^{qq}\gamma^{gg} - \gamma^{qg}\gamma^{gq}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

The explicit expressions for roots to (2.16) are not particularly suitable for analyzing the large N asymptotics of the anomalous dimensions γ_q and γ_g. As we will see in a moment, it is more advantageous to study the properties of $X(N)$ and $Y(N)$.

As in Sect. 2.1, we first examine the large N expansion of the one-loop mixing matrix $\gamma_0^{ab}(N)$. Expanding the well-known expression for $\gamma_0^{ab}(N)$ in powers of the parameter $1/J$ defined in (1.16), one finds

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_0^{qq} = C_F\left[2\ln\bar{J}^2 - 3 + \mathcal{O}(1/J^2)\right], \qquad && \gamma_0^{qg} = -2n_f/J + \mathcal{O}(1/J^2), \\ &\gamma_0^{gq} = -2C_F/J + \mathcal{O}(1/J^2), && \gamma_0^{gg} = 2N_c\ln\bar{J}^2 - \beta_0 + \mathcal{O}(1/J^2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

with β_0 given in (2.5). The matrix elements γ_0^{qq} are the same for the unpolarized and polarized distributions. For γ_0^{gg} their difference scales as $\sim 1/J^4$ while for γ_0^{gq} and γ_0^{qg} it scales as $\sim 1/J^3$. The large N expansion of the diagonal matrix elements, γ_0^{qq} and γ_0^{gg}, runs in even powers of $1/J$ only. Similar expansion of the off-diagonal matrix elements, γ_0^{qg} and γ_0^{gq}, involves all powers of $1/J$, but odd powers of $1/J$ disappear in their product $\gamma_0^{qg}\gamma_0^{gq} = 4C_F n_f/J^2 + \mathcal{O}(1/J^4)$. This implies that the matrix elements $\gamma_0^{ab}(N)$ do not verify the parity preserving relation (1.17) but this relation holds true for the trace and determinant of the mixing matrix, $X(N)$ and $Y(N)$, respectively. As a consequence, the eigenvalues of the one-loop mixing matrix, Eq. (2.16), have the same property – their asymptotic expansion runs in even powers of $1/J$ only. [8] This property can be thought of as a generalization of the relation (2.11) for the singlet anomalous dimensions.

In a close analogy to the nonsinglet case, one can apply (1.14) to relate the two eigenvalues $\gamma_a(N)$ of the mixing matrix to two different functions $f_a(N)$ (with $a = q, g$). To the lowest order in the coupling constant, the functions coincide, $\gamma_a(N) = f_a(N) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$, and, therefore, $f_a(N)$ verify the parity preserving property (1.17) to one loop accuracy. We will demonstrate in Sect. 3, that for the functions $f_q(N)$ and $f_g(N)$ defined in this way, the property (1.17) holds true to three loops at least.

2.3. Anomalous dimensions in SYM

Let us now extend the analysis to twist-two operators in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories. In the simplest case of the SYM theory with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supercharge, the twist-two operators can be obtained from the singlet operators (2.15) by substituting the quark fields with the gaugino fields belonging to the adjoint representation of the $SU(N_c)$ group. As a result, the one-loop anomalous dimensions in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory coincide with the QCD expressions for $C_F = n_f = N_c$ [10, 23]. In the SYM theories with $\mathcal{N} > 1$ supercharges, construction of twist-two operators

[8] Provided that the difference $\gamma_0^{qq} - \gamma_0^{gg}$ scales as $\mathcal{O}(J^0)$ which is indeed the case for (2.18) since $C_F \neq N_c$.

becomes extremely cumbersome due to larger number of fundamental fields involved (gaugino, gauge fields and scalars). As was shown in Ref. [34], the problem can be circumvented by employing the formulation of SYM theories in terms of light-cone superfields [35]. This approach takes a full advantage of superconformal invariance of the SYM theory and provides a unifying description of the twist-two (superconformal) operators for different number of supercharges $\mathcal{N}$.

Similar to the QCD case, the form of the one-loop mixing matrix in the SYM theory is constrained by the (super)conformal invariance of the classical Lagrangian [36]. It allows one to classify the twist-two operators according to representations of the superconformal $SU(2,2|\mathcal{N})$ group and, more precisely, its collinear subgroup $SL(2|\mathcal{N})$. In this way, one can show that, in the SYM theories with $\mathcal{N}<4$ supercharges, *all* twist-two operators belong to *two* different $SL(2|\mathcal{N})$ multiplets whereas in the maximally supersymmetric $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory they belong to a *single* $SL(2|4)$ multiplet. The reason for such difference is that for $\mathcal{N}=4$ all 'good' components of the fundamental fields, providing the building blocks for the twist-two operators, can be comprised into a single light-cone superfield whereas for $\mathcal{N}<4$ they are described by two different light-cone superfields.

The superconformal symmetry ensures that the twist-two operators inside the supermultiplet have the same anomalous dimension. In the SYM theory with $\mathcal{N}<4$ supercharges, the anomalous dimensions of twist-two operators belonging to two supermultiplets are given to one loop by [34]

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{0,+}(N) &= 4\left[\psi(N+1)+\gamma_{\rm E}\right]-\beta_0\,, \\ \gamma_{0,-}(N) &= 2\left[\psi(N+3-\mathcal{N})+\psi(N-1)-(-1)^N\frac{\Gamma(N-1)\Gamma(4-\mathcal{N})}{\Gamma(N+3-\mathcal{N})}+2\gamma_{\rm E}\right]-\beta_0\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

with β_0 being the lowest order coefficient of the beta-function defined in (2.6). In the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory, the twist-two operators belong to the same supermultiplet and their anomalous dimension is given to one loop by [37]

$$\gamma_{0,+}(N)=4\left[\psi(N+1)+\gamma_{\rm E}\right]. \tag{2.20}$$

Here nonnegative integer N parameterizes the superconformal $SL(2|\mathcal{N})$ spin of the twist-two operators. For the operators with the anomalous dimension $\gamma_{0,+}(N)$ and $\gamma_{0,-}(N)$ the corresponding quadratic Casimir is given respectively by [34]

$$J_+^2=N(N+1)\,,\qquad J_-^2=\left(N-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}\right)\left(N+1-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}\right). \tag{2.21}$$

For $\mathcal{N}=0$ the expressions (2.19) coincide with the one-loop anomalous dimensions of twist-two operators in pure gluodynamics. For $\mathcal{N}=1$ they match the QCD expressions evaluated in the supersymmetric limit $C_F=n_f=N_c$ [10, 23]. In particular, $\gamma_{0,+}(N)$ corresponds to the anomalous dimensions of quark transversity and linearly polarized gluon distributions [38, 32]. For $N=$ even/odd the function $\gamma_{0,-}(N)$ defines the eigenvalues of the one-loop mixing matrix $\gamma_0^{ab}(N)$ for singlet unpolarized/polarized distributions [23].

Let us examine the dependence of the anomalous dimensions (2.19) and (2.20) on the superconformal Casimirs (2.21) at large N. The expression for the anomalous dimension $\gamma_{0,+}(N)$, Eq. (2.20), is similar to (2.8) (for $\eta=-1$) and its asymptotic expansion in powers of $1/J_+^2$ can be easily obtained from (2.11). In the SYM theory with $\mathcal{N}<4$ supercharges, the expression for $\gamma_{0,-}(N)$, Eq. (2.19), involves the factor $(-1)^N$ and its analytical continuation from even and odd N gives rise to two different functions [15] that we shall denote as $\gamma_0(N,\sigma)$ (with $\sigma=\pm$)

$$\gamma_0(N,\sigma)=2\left[\ln\bar{J}_-^2+\varphi_1(J_-^{-2})J_-^{-2}+\sigma\,\varphi_2(J_-^{-2})\,J_-^{-4+\mathcal{N}}\right]-\beta_0, \tag{2.22}$$

where, by definition, $\gamma_{0,-}(N) = \gamma_0(N, \sigma = (-1)^N)$ for integer N. Here the functions $\varphi_{1,2}$ are given by asymptotic series in $1/J_-^2$ with the $\mathcal{N}-$dependent coefficients.

We notice that the functions (2.22) have different analytical properties for even and odd number of supercharges $\mathcal{N}$. For $\mathcal{N} = 0$ and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ the functions $\gamma_0(N, \sigma)$ admit asymptotic expansion in integer powers of $1/J_-^2$ only. For $\mathcal{N} = 1$ this property is not valid for $\gamma_0(N, \sigma)$ anymore but it is restored in the sum $\gamma_0(N, +) + \gamma_0(N, -)$. Still, the asymptotic expansion of the difference $\gamma_0(N, +) - \gamma_0(N, -)$ runs in odd powers of $1/J_-$ only.

To understand the reason why the parity preserving property is modified for $\mathcal{N} = 1$, we recall that $\gamma_0(N, \sigma)$ defines the eigenvalues of the singlet mixing matrix in QCD, Eq. (2.18), in the supersymmetric limit $C_F = n_f = N_c$. More precisely, for integer N the solutions to the characteristic equation (2.16) are given by $\gamma_1 = \gamma_{0,-}(N + 1)$ and $\gamma_2 = \gamma_{0,-}(N)$. Then, it follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 = \sqrt{(\gamma_0^{qq} - \gamma_0^{gg})^2 + 4\gamma_0^{qg}\gamma_0^{gq}} \tag{2.23}$$

with the matrix elements of the mixing matrix given by (2.18). We demonstrated in Sect. 2.2, that $(\gamma_0^{qq} - \gamma_0^{gg})^2$ and $\gamma_0^{qg}\gamma_0^{gq}$ are given by asymptotic series in $1/J^2 = 1/(N(N + 1))$ and, therefore, $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ should also admit similar expansion provided that the leading $\sim J^0$ term inside the square-root in the right-hand side of (2.23) is different from zero, or equivalently $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \neq 0$ at large N. In the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory, the eigenvalues of the mixing matrix become degenerate in the large N limit and the above condition is not satisfied. Indeed, one finds from (2.18) that $(\gamma_0^{qq} - \gamma_0^{gg})^2 + 4\gamma_0^{qg}\gamma_0^{gq} \sim 1/J^2$ in the supersymmetric limit, $C_F = n_f = N_c$, leading to [9]

$$\gamma_0(N, \sigma) - \gamma_0(N + 1, -\sigma) = J^{-1}\varphi(J^{-2}) \tag{2.24}$$

with $J^2 = N(N + 1)$ and $\varphi(J^{-2})$ given by series in $1/J^2$. For $\mathcal{N}$ =1, one replaces $\gamma_0(N, \sigma)$ by its general expression (2.22) (without assuming parity property of the function φ_2), takes into account the relation $J_-^2 = (N-\frac{1}{2})(N+\frac{1}{2})$, Eq. (2.21), and deduces from (2.24) that, in agreement with (2.22), the $\sigma-$dependent term inside $\gamma_0(N, \sigma)$ should admit an asymptotic expansion in odd powers of $1/J_-$ only.

3. Parity preserving relations at higher loops

We demonstrated in Sect. 2, that to one-loop accuracy, the large N expansion of the twist-two anomalous dimensions in QCD and in its supersymmetric extensions (except the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory) runs in integer powers of the (super)conformal Casimir J^2 and, therefore, possesses the parity preserving property (1.17). In the $z-$representation (1.1), this translates into the reciprocity relation (1.3) for the corresponding one-loop splitting functions $P(z)$. Going over to higher loops, one finds that the conformal spin of Wilson operators get renormalized by an amount proportional to their anomalous dimension (1.11). Moreover, in gauge theories with nonvanishing beta-function the anomalous dimensions receive conformal symmetry breaking contributions. We will argue in this section, that once both effects are taken into account, the parity preserving relation (1.3) does not hold true for the anomalous dimension $\gamma(N)$ but it remains valid (to three loops at least) for the function $f(N)$ defined in (1.14).

[9] The phenomenon is well-known in quantum mechanics for the system of two almost degenerate energy levels γ_0^{gg} and γ_0^{qq} whose interaction energy is of the same order as the level splitting, $\gamma_0^{qg}\gamma_0^{gq} \sim (\gamma_0^{qq} - \gamma_0^{gg})^2 \sim 1/J^2$.

3.1. Space-like anomalous dimensions

Let us examine the relation between the space-like anomalous dimension of twist L and the function $f(N)$

$$\gamma_S(N) = f\left(N + \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_S(N) - \tfrac{1}{4}L\beta(\lambda)\right) . \tag{3.1}$$

We recall that the shift of the argument in the right-hand side of (3.1) takes into account renormalization of the conformal spin of the quasipartonic operator of twist L due to nonzero value of the anomalous dimension and of the beta-function. The function $f(N)$ admits a perturbative expansion in the coupling constant λ similar to (2.3)

$$f(N) = \lambda f_0(N) + \lambda^2 f_1(N) + \dots . \tag{3.2}$$

To the lowest order one has $f_0(N) = \gamma_0(N)$. Substituting (3.2) into (3.1) and matching the coefficients in front of powers of λ, one can establish the relations between higher order corrections to $f(N)$ and $\gamma_S(N)$. It is convenient to introduce two functions

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\gamma}_S(N) &= \gamma_S(N) - \tfrac{1}{2}L\beta(\lambda)\,, \\ \widehat{f}(N) &= f(N) - \tfrac{1}{2}L\beta(\lambda)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

so that the relation (3.1) simplifies as

$$\widehat{\gamma}_S(N) = \widehat{f}\left(N + \tfrac{1}{2}\widehat{\gamma}_S(N)\right) . \tag{3.4}$$

Solving this functional relation one obtains its formal solution as (Lagrange-Bürmann formula)

$$\widehat{\gamma}_S(N) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_N\right)^{k-1}\left[\widehat{f}\,(N)\right]^k = \widehat{f}(N) + \frac{1}{4}\Big(\widehat{f}^2(N)\Big)' + \frac{1}{24}\Big(\widehat{f}^3(N)\Big)'' + \mathcal{O}(\widehat{f}^4(N))\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where prime denotes a derivative with respect to N. To determine the function $\widehat{f}(N)$ one has to invert this relation. To this end, one uses (3.4) to get

$$\widehat{f}(N) = \widehat{\gamma}_S(N - \tfrac{1}{2}\widehat{f}(N)) \tag{3.6}$$

and obtains solution to this equation as

$$\widehat{f}(N) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_N\right)^{k-1}\left[\widehat{\gamma}_S(N)\right]^k = \widehat{\gamma}_S(N) - \frac{1}{4}\big(\widehat{\gamma}_S^2(N)\big)' + \frac{1}{24}\big(\widehat{\gamma}_S^3(N)\big)'' + \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\gamma}_S^4(N))\,. \tag{3.7}$$

This relation allows one to reconstruct the function $\widehat{f}(N)$ from known expression for the space-like anomalous dimensions $\widehat{\gamma}_S(N)$.

3.1.1. Parity preserving relations

We are now ready to verify the parity preserving relation (1.17) for the scaling function $f(N)$ in higher loops. For the *nonsinglet* anomalous dimensions, the analysis goes along the same lines as in Sect. 2.1 – one replaces $\gamma_S(N)$ in (3.7) and (3.3) by available two- and three-loop nonsinglet anomalous dimensions, evaluates the function $f(N)$ to the same loop accuracy and,

then, examines its asymptotic expansion in $J^2 = N(N+1)$ at large N. For the *singlet* anomalous dimensions, one uses two solutions to the characteristic equation (2.16), $\gamma_q(N)$ and $\gamma_g(N)$, and defines the corresponding functions $f_q(N)$ and $f_g(N)$ with a help of (3.7) (see Appendix for more details).

In our analysis, we used expressions for multi-loop anomalous dimensions of various twist-two operators in QCD and in SYM theories available in the literature. They include

- Two-loop longitudinally polarized singlet distributions in QCD [33];
- Two-loop gluon linearly polarized distribution in QCD [32];
- Two-loop quark transversity distribution in QCD [38] and its analogs in SYM theories with $\mathcal{N} = 0, 1, 2$ supercharges [27];
- Three-loop nonsinglet unpolarized distributions in QCD [2];
- Three-loop singlet unpolarized distributions in QCD [3];
- Three-loop 'universal' distribution in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [39].

These anomalous dimensions are given by complicated expressions involving nested harmonic sums and various color factors. Going over through a lengthy calculation, we worked out their large N expansion in powers of $J^{-1} = (N(N+1))^{-1/2}$ and split the corresponding series as

$$\gamma_S(N) = \gamma_+(\ln \bar{J}, J^{-1}) + \gamma_-(\ln \bar{J}, J^{-1}) + \tfrac{1}{2} L \beta(\lambda)\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Here the beta-function term is introduced for the later convenience and $\gamma_\pm$ are series in J^{-1} of a definite parity, $\gamma_\pm(\ln \bar{J}, -J^{-1}) = \pm\gamma_\pm(\ln \bar{J}, J^{-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_+ &= \Gamma_0(\ln \bar{J}) + \Gamma_2(\ln \bar{J})\, J^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(J^{-4})\,, \\ \gamma_- &= \Gamma_1(\ln \bar{J})\, J^{-1} + \Gamma_3(\ln \bar{J})\, J^{-3} + \mathcal{O}(J^{-5})\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\Gamma_k(\ln \bar{J})$ are given by series in the coupling constant with the coefficients depending on $\ln \bar{J} = \ln J + \gamma_{\rm E}$. Matching (3.8) and (1.7) one can establish the correspondence between the two sets of coefficient functions (for $L = 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 &= A(\lambda) \ln \bar{J} + B(\lambda) - \beta(\lambda)\,, \\ \Gamma_1 &= C_-(\lambda) \ln \bar{J} + D_-(\lambda)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

In all cases except the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, the resulting multi-loop expressions for the coefficient functions $\Gamma_k(\ln \bar{J})$ are too cumbersome to be displayed here. For the three-loop 'universal' anomalous dimension our analysis gives (see Appendix for details)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 &= 4\,\alpha\, \ln \bar{J} - 6\,\alpha^2\,\zeta_3 + \alpha^3 \left(-\tfrac{4}{3}\,\pi^2 \zeta_3 + 20\,\zeta_5\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)\,, \\ \Gamma_1 &= 8\,\alpha^2\, \ln \bar{J} - 12\,\alpha^3\,\zeta_3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)\,, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \tfrac{2}{3}\,\alpha + 2\,\alpha^2 + \alpha^3 \left(\tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2 + \left(16 - \tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2\right) \ln \bar{J} - 8\, \left(\ln \bar{J}\right)^2\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)\,, \\ \Gamma_3 &= \alpha^2 \left(\tfrac{4}{3} - \tfrac{5}{3}\, \ln \bar{J}\right) + \alpha^3 \left(4 + \tfrac{5}{2}\,\zeta_3 - 8\, \ln \bar{J}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)\,, \quad \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where α stands for the so-called physical coupling constant [40]

$$\alpha = \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\lambda) = \lambda - \tfrac{1}{6}\,\pi^2\lambda^2 + \tfrac{11}{180}\,\pi^4\lambda^3 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Replacing $\gamma_S(N)$ in the relation (3.7) by its expression, Eqs. (3.8), (3.9) and (3.11), one finds the scaling function in the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory after some algebra as

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(\mathcal{N}=4)}(N) &= 4\,\alpha\ln\bar{J} - 6\,\alpha^2\zeta_3 + \alpha^3\left(-\tfrac{4}{3}\,\pi^2\zeta_3 + 20\,\zeta_5\right) \\ &+ \left[\tfrac{2}{3}\,\alpha + 2\,\alpha^2 + \left(\tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2 - \tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2\ln\bar{J}\right)\alpha^3\right] J^{-2} + \mathcal{O}\left(J^{-4},\alpha^4\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We observe that, in agreement with (1.17), this series does not involve odd powers of $1/J$ while they are present in the series for the anomalous dimension (3.8).

We repeated similar analysis for the above-mentioned two-loop and three-loop anomalous dimensions of twist two and found that in all cases the corresponding functions $f(N)$ verify the parity preserving relation (1.17)! The properties of the functions $f(N)$ are discussed in the Appendix.

3.1.2. MVV relations

As was mentioned in the Introduction, the parity preserving property (1.17) implies certain relations between different terms in the large N expansion of the anomalous dimensions. To obtain these relations, one notices that according to (1.17) the large N expansion of the derivatives $(\partial_N)^n f = (\sqrt{1+1/(4J^2)}\partial_J)^n f$ runs in odd/even powers of $1/J$ depending on parity of n. Matching (3.5) and (3.8) one finds that the series γ_+ and γ_- are given by the sum of terms involving derivatives of the even and odd order, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_+ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k+1)!}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_N\right)^{2k}[\widehat{f}(N)]^{2k+1} = \widehat{f}(N) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)\,, \\ \gamma_- &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k)!}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_N\right)^{2k-1}[\widehat{f}(N)]^{2k} = \tfrac{1}{4}\partial_N[\widehat{f}(N)]^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Inverting the first relation and substituting the resulting expression for $f(N)$ into the second relation, one can express γ_- in terms of γ_+. To four-loop accuracy one finds

$$\gamma_- = \tfrac{1}{4}\left(\gamma_+^2\right)' + \tfrac{1}{48}\left(-\gamma_+\left(\gamma_+^3\right)'' + \tfrac{1}{4}\left(\gamma_+^4\right)''\right)' + \ldots \tag{3.15}$$

where prime denotes a derivative with respect to N and ellipses stand for terms involving higher number of γ_+ and their derivatives with respect to N. It follows from (3.15) that in order to determine γ_- to four loops it is sufficient to substitute γ_+ by its three-loop expression.

Replacing $\gamma_\pm$ in (3.15) by their expressions (3.9) and comparing the coefficients in front of odd powers of $1/J$ one obtains an infinite system of relations between the coefficient functions Γ_k entering (3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma_0\,\dot{\Gamma}_0\,, \\ \Gamma_3 &= -\tfrac{1}{12}\Gamma_0^3\,\dot{\Gamma}_0 + \tfrac{1}{4}\Gamma_0^2\left(\dot{\Gamma}_0\right)^2 - \tfrac{1}{8}\Gamma_0\left(\dot{\Gamma}_0\right)^3 + \tfrac{1}{16}\Gamma_0\dot{\Gamma}_0 + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma_0\dot{\Gamma}_2\right) - \Gamma_0\Gamma_2\,, \quad \ldots\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where dot denotes a derivative with respect to $\ln \bar{J}$. Here, in the second relation, we took into account that Γ_0, Eq. (3.10), is linear in $\ln \bar{J}$ and therefore $\ddot{\Gamma}_0 = 0$. It is straightforward to derive from (3.15) similar relations for the subleading functions $\Gamma_5, \Gamma_7, \ldots$ but they become more cumbersome. Substitution of (3.10) into the first relation in (3.16) yields $C_- = \frac{1}{2}A^2$ and $D_- = \frac{1}{2}A(B-\beta)$, in agreement with the exact three-loop result in QCD, Eqs. (1.9) and (1.10). In the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory, the relations (3.16) can be easily verified with a help of (3.11).

We conclude from (3.15) and (3.16) that the coefficients in front of odd powers of $1/J$ in the large N expansion of the anomalous dimension, Eqs. (3.8) and (3.9), can be expressed in terms of the coefficients accompanying smaller *even* powers of $1/J$ to *less* number of loops.

3.2. Time-like anomalous dimensions

Let us now extend consideration to the time-like anomalous dimensions $\gamma_T(N)$. They describe the scale dependence of the partonic fragmentation functions [12] and, in distinction with the space-like anomalous dimensions discussed above, are not related to local Wilson operators. In what follows we shall assume, following [5], that the time-like anomalous dimensions satisfy the relation

$$\gamma_T(N) = f\left(N - \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_T(N) - \tfrac{1}{4}L\beta(\lambda)\right) . \tag{3.17}$$

with the function $f(N)$ *the same* as for the space-like anomalous dimension (3.1). The relation (3.17) generalizes to higher twists the relation (1.5) proposed in [6, 5] and incorporates the beta-function contribution. Inverting (3.17) and combining it with the similar relation for the space-like anomalous dimension (3.1), one obtains

$$f(N) = \gamma_T\left(N + \tfrac{1}{2}f(N) + \tfrac{1}{4}L\beta(\lambda)\right) = \gamma_S\left(N - \tfrac{1}{2}f(N) + \tfrac{1}{4}L\beta(\lambda)\right) . \tag{3.18}$$

One can exclude $f(N)$ from (3.18) and obtain two equivalent functional relations between the space-like and time-like anomalous dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_S(N) &= \gamma_T(N + \gamma_S(N)) , \\ \gamma_T(N) &= \gamma_S(N - \gamma_T(N)) . \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Notice that the beta-function term dropped out from these relations. The second relation in (3.19) takes the same form as (1.4) but in distinction with the latter it now holds true for arbitrary N.

Following [5], one can apply the relations (3.19) to verify the reciprocity relations (1.2). It is convenient to switch in (1.2) from the splitting functions to their moments (1.1) and examine the relations between the anomalous dimensions $\gamma_S(N)$ and $\gamma_T(N)$. From the second relation in (3.19) one gets similarly to (3.6) and (3.7)

$$\gamma_T(N) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(-\partial_N\right)^{k-1} \left[\gamma_S(N)\right]^k . \tag{3.20}$$

We conclude from this relation that the difference between the space-like and time-like anomalous dimensions is given by

$$\gamma_T(N) - \gamma_S(N) = -\frac{1}{2}\big(\gamma_S^2(N)\big)' + \frac{1}{6}\big(\gamma_S^3(N)\big)'' - \frac{1}{24}\big(\gamma_S^4(N)\big)''' + \mathcal{O}(\gamma_S^5(N)) , \tag{3.21}$$

where prime denotes a derivative with respect to N. It is different from zero starting from two loops. Moreover, replacing $\gamma_S(N)$ in the right-hand side of (3.21) by its three-loop expression, one can evaluate $\gamma_T(N)-\gamma_S(N)$ to four-loop accuracy and, then, translate it with a help of (1.1) into the difference of the splitting functions $P_S(z) - P_T(z)$.

Let us now examine the second reciprocity relation in (1.2) and evaluate the moments (1.1) of the difference of the splitting functions entering both sides of (1.2)

$$\Delta(N) = -\int_0^1 dz\, z^{N-1}\left[P_T(z) + zP_S(1/z)\right] . \tag{3.22}$$

By definition (1.1), the moments of $P_T(z)$ give rise to the time-like anomalous dimension $\gamma_T(N)$ which can be expressed in its turn in terms of the function $f(N)$ using (3.17). [10] To calculate the moments of $P_S(1/z)$ in (3.22), we go over to the large N limit and change the integration variable $z = \mathrm{e}^{-x/j}$ with $j = N + \frac{1}{2} = \left(J^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right)^{1/2}$ as in (2.13). Expanding the $x-$integral in inverse powers of J, one finds that it is given by the asymptotic series (3.8) with $J^{-1} \to -J^{-1}$

$$\int_0^1 dz\, z^N P_S(1/z) = \gamma_+(\ln \bar{J}, J^{-1}) - \gamma_-(\ln \bar{J}, J^{-1}) + \tfrac{1}{2}L\beta(\lambda) . \tag{3.23}$$

Then, one takes into account (3.14) and obtains

$$\Delta(N) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_N\right)^{k-1}\left\{\left[f(N) + \tfrac{1}{2}L\beta(\lambda)\right]^k - \left[f(N) - \tfrac{1}{2}L\beta(\lambda)\right]^k\right\} . \tag{3.24}$$

It follows from this relation that $\Delta(N)$ vanishes in a conformal gauge theory with $\beta(\lambda) = 0$. Otherwise, $\Delta(N)$ receives corrections starting from two loops.

The relation (3.24) takes a simple form when expressed in terms of the time-like anomalous dimension $\gamma_T(N)$. Inverting (3.17) and substituting $f(N)$ in (3.24) by its expression in terms of $\gamma_T(N)$, one gets after some algebra

$$\Delta(N) = \gamma_T(N) - \gamma_T(N + \tfrac{1}{2}L\beta(\lambda)) . \tag{3.25}$$

Its expansion in powers of the beta function reads

$$\Delta(N) = -\tfrac{1}{2}L\beta(\lambda)\left[\gamma_T'(N) + \tfrac{1}{4}L\beta(\lambda)\gamma_T''(N) + \tfrac{1}{24}(L\beta(\lambda))^2\gamma_T'''(N)\right] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5) . \tag{3.26}$$

We observe that this relation has the same remarkable property as Eqs. (3.15) and (3.21) – using the known result for three-loop anomalous dimensions, one can determine $\Delta(N)$ to four loops.

Our analysis in this section relied on the parity preserving property (1.17) of the scaling function $f(N)$. We verified this property in QCD and in SYM theories using known results for the multi-loop anomalous dimensions. In the next two sections, we will argue that the property (1.17) holds true to all loops in QCD in the so-called large β_0 limit and in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory for special class scalar operators of higher twist in the strong coupling regime.

[10] One observes that the relation (3.17) transforms into (3.1) under substitution $\gamma_S(N) \to -\gamma_T(N)$ and $f(N) \to -f(N)$. The expression for $\gamma_T(N)$ can be easily obtained by applying the same transformation to (3.5) and (3.3).

4. Parity preserving relations in the large β_0-limit

The anomalous dimensions in QCD depend on the number of quark flavours n_f. One can make use of this fact to obtain the all-loop expression for the anomalous dimensions $\gamma(N)$ and the functions $f(N)$ in the large β_0 limit

$$a = \tfrac{1}{2}\beta_0\lambda = \text{fixed}\,, \qquad \beta_0 \to \infty\,. \tag{4.1}$$

Here $\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}N_c - \frac{2}{3}n_f$ is the one-loop coefficient of the beta-function in QCD and n_f is assumed to take large negative values. Notice that this limit does not exist in SYM theories, since β_0 is uniquely fixed there by the number of supercharges, Eq. (2.6).

Let us first consider the nonsinglet (unpolarized) twist-two anomalous dimension in QCD. To one-loop order, it takes the form $\gamma_{\rm ns} = \lambda\gamma_0(N) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ with $\gamma_0(N)$ given by (2.8) for $\eta = 1$. At higher loops, it receives corrections $\sim \lambda(\lambda n_f)^n$ enhanced in the large β_0 limit. They come from the so-called single renormalon chain Feynman diagrams which can be resummed to all orders in the rescaled coupling constant a, Eq. (4.1). Then, the nonsinglet anomalous dimension in QCD is given in the large β_0-limit by [41, 42]

$$\gamma_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}(N) = 2A(a)\left[\psi\left(N+a\right) - \psi\left(1+a\right) + \frac{N-1}{2}\left(\frac{a^2+2\,a-1}{(1+a)\,(N+a)} - \frac{(1+a)^2}{(a+2)\,(N+1+a)}\right)\right] \tag{4.2}$$

where the superscript (∞) indicates the leading asymptotic behaviour for $\beta_0 \to \infty$ and $A(a)$ is the cusp anomalous dimension in the limit (4.1)

$$A(a) = \frac{2\,C_F\,\sin\left(\pi\,a\right)\Gamma\left(4+2\,a\right)}{3\beta_0\pi\,\Gamma^2\left(a+2\right)}\,. \tag{4.3}$$

To lowest order in a, $\gamma_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}(N)$ coincides with the exact one-loop result (2.8) (for $\eta = 1$).

Let us substitute (4.2) into the relation (3.1) and reconstruct the corresponding function $f^{(\infty)}(N)$. One finds that the relation (3.1) simplifies significantly in the large$-\beta_0$ limit because the anomalous dimension scales as $\gamma_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}(N) \sim 1/\beta_0$ and, therefore, it can be safely neglected in the right-hand side of (3.1). Together with $\beta(\lambda) = -\beta_0\lambda + \ldots = -2a + \ldots$ this leads to

$$\gamma_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}(N) = f_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}\left(N+a\right). \tag{4.4}$$

As a result, one finds from (4.2) after some algebra

$$f_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}(N) = 2A(a)\left[\psi\left(N+1\right) - \psi\left(1+a\right) - \frac{\left(2a+1+\eta\right)^2}{8N(N+1)} - \frac{3-a^2}{2\left(1+a\right)\left(2+a\right)}\right], \tag{4.5}$$

with $\eta = 1$. The reason why we displayed the $\eta-$dependence in (4.5) is that for $\eta = -1$ the relations (4.5) and (4.4) describe the quark transversity anomalous dimension, Eq. (2.8), in the large β_0 limit [43]. As expected, the function $f^{(\infty)}(N)$ also depends on the parameter $\varepsilon_{\rm cr} = \beta(\lambda)/2 = -a + \ldots$ defining the 'critical' value of the space-time dimension $d_{\rm cr} = 4 - 2\varepsilon_{\rm cr}$ for which the gauge theory becomes conformal. Since $\gamma_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}(N) \sim 1/\beta_0$, one deduces from (3.19) that the space-like and time-like anomalous dimensions coincide in the large β_0 limit and, therefore, the first of the two reciprocity relations in (1.2) is exact.

We recall that the expression (4.5) resums perturbative corrections to the function $f_{\rm ns}(N)$ of the form $\sim \lambda(\lambda n_f)^n$. The $N-$dependence of the function $f_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}(N)$, Eq. (4.5), is similar to that of the one-loop expression (2.8) – higher order corrections in a only modify the coefficients in front of $\psi(N+1)$ and $1/(N(N+1))$. Therefore, in agreement with (1.17), the large N expansion of $f_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}(N)$ runs in integer negative powers of $J^2 = N(N+1)$ only.

Let us now examine the large β_0 limit of the twist-two (un)polarized singlet anomalous dimensions, γ_q and γ_g, defined as solutions to the characteristic equation (2.16). To one-loop accuracy, the mixing matrix is given by (2.18) and the n_f-dependence resides in two matrix elements only, γ_0^{qg} and γ_0^{gg}. Then, it is easy to see that eigenvalues of the one-loop mixing matrix scale in the large β_0 limit (4.1) as

$$\gamma_q^{(\infty)}(N) \sim \lambda = a/\beta_0\,, \qquad \gamma_g^{(\infty)}(N) = -\lambda\beta_0 = -2a\,. \tag{4.6}$$

Going over to higher loops one finds [41, 44] that leading corrections to both anomalous dimensions take the form $\sim \lambda(\lambda n_f)^n \sim a^{n+1}/\beta_0$. The scaling behaviour of the smallest eigenvalue $\gamma_q^{(\infty)}(N)$ is the same as of the nonsinglet anomalous dimension $\gamma_{\rm ns}^{(\infty)}(N)$. Therefore, in the large β_0 limit the relation (3.1) between $\gamma_q(N)$ and the corresponding function $f_q(N)$ takes the same form as (4.4)

$$\gamma_q^{(\infty)}(N) = f_q^{(\infty)}\,(N+a)\,. \tag{4.7}$$

In distinction with $\gamma_q^{(\infty)}(N)$, the gluon dominated anomalous dimension $\gamma_g^{(\infty)}(N)$ approaches a finite value (4.6) and the defining relation (3.1) looks in this case as

$$\gamma_g^{(\infty)}(N) = f_g^{(\infty)}\left(N + \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_g^{(\infty)}(N) + a\right)\,. \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting $\gamma_g^{(\infty)}(N) = -2a + \mathcal{O}(1/\beta_0)$ into this relation, one finds in the large β_0 limit

$$\gamma_g^{(\infty)}(N) = f_g^{(\infty)}(N)\,, \tag{4.9}$$

with $f_g^{(\infty)}(N) + 2a = \mathcal{O}(1/\beta_0)$. The relations (4.7) and (4.9) hold true for the unpolarized and polarized anomalous dimensions although the corresponding scaling functions $f_q(N)$ and $f_g(N)$ are different in two cases.

The resummed (un)polarized singlet anomalous dimensions $\gamma_q^{(\infty)}(N)$ and $\gamma_g^{(\infty)}(N)$ have been calculated in Refs. [41, 44]. [11] Their expressions are lengthy and to save space we do not display them here. Making use of (4.7) and (4.9), it is straightforward to calculate the corresponding functions $f_q^{(\infty)}(N)$ and $f_g^{(\infty)}(N)$ and, then, work out their large N expansion. In this way, we found that for the polarized and unpolarized anomalous dimensions the expansion of $f_q^{(\infty)}(N)$ and $f_g^{(\infty)}(N)$ runs in integer negative powers of $J^2 = N(N+1)$ only! We conclude that the parity preserving property (1.17) holds true in QCD for the twist-two (non)singlet anomalous dimensions to all loops in the large β_0 limit.

5. Parity preserving relations in the AdS/CFT

In this section, we will employ the AdS/CFT correspondence to determine the function $f(N)$ in the maximally supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory in the strong coupling regime.

[11] Notice that the expression for the quark dominated polarized anomalous dimensions, Eq.(5.3) in the second reference in [41], contains a misprint. The factor $(2\mu + n - 1)$ should be replaced there by $(2\mu + n - 2)$. We would like to thank J. Gracey for clarifying this point.

The gauge/string duality allows one to establish the correspondence between the anomalous dimensions of Wilson operators in the planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory at strong coupling and energies of strings on the $AdS_5\times S^5$ background [1, 45, 30]. This relationship takes a very precise form for Wilson operators built from a complex scalar field Z and the light-cone component of the covariant derivatives $D_+=(n\cdot D)$ (see review [46] and references therein)

$$\mathcal{O}_L^{\{k\}}(0)=\mathrm{tr}\left[D_+^{k_1}Z(0)D_+^{k_2}Z(0)\dots D_+^{k_L}Z(0)\right], \tag{5.1}$$

with k_i being nonnegative integer. In gauge theory, $\mathcal{O}_L^{\{k\}}(0)$ can be identified as quasipartonic operators with twist L and the Lorentz spin $N=\sum_i k_i$. The operators (5.1) with the same quantum numbers L and N mix under renormalization and their anomalous dimensions can be found by diagonalizing the corresponding mixing matrix. For $L=2$, the anomalous dimension of the operators (5.1) is uniquely specified by the total Lorentz spin N whereas for higher twists $L\geq 3$ the anomalous dimensions occupy a band whose size depends on N (see review [47] and references therein). The AdS/CFT correspondence provides a prediction for the *minimal* anomalous dimension $\gamma_L(N)$ of the Wilson operators (5.1) carrying large quantum numbers (twist L and/or Lorentz spin N) in the planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory at strong coupling. Namely, $\gamma_L(N)$ is related to the energy of a (semi)classical folded string which rotates with the angular momentum N on the AdS_3 part of the target space and whose center-of-mass is also moving with the angular momentum L along a big circle of S^5 [30, 31]

$$\gamma_L(N)=E-N-L\,. \tag{5.2}$$

Here the string energy E is given by the series in $1/\sqrt{\lambda}$

$$E=\sqrt{\lambda}E_0\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{\lambda}},\frac{L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)+E_1\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{\lambda}},\frac{L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)+\dots \tag{5.3}$$

with E_0 being the classical string energy and E_1 being one-loop quantum string correction.

For the folded rotating string, the classical energy E_0 can be found explicitly in terms of the Jacobi elliptic $\mathbb{K}-$ and $\mathbb{E}-$functions [31, 48, 49]. The quantum correction E_1 has been recently calculated in Ref. [50] and its expression is more involved. Keeping in (5.3) the first term only, one finds from (5.2) the leading order expression for the anomalous dimension as $\lambda\gg 1$ and $N/\sqrt{\lambda},\ L/\sqrt{\lambda}=$ fixed [51, 52]

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_L(N)&=L\left[\mathbb{K}(\tau)\,b_{\rm str}-\mathbb{E}(\tau)\frac{\lambda'}{b_{\rm str}}-1\right],\\ N/L&=\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathbb{E}(\tau)\left(a_{\rm str}+\frac{\lambda'}{b_{\rm str}}\right)-\mathbb{K}(\tau)\left(b_{\rm str}+\frac{\lambda'}{a_{\rm str}}\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where $\lambda'=g^2N_c/(\pi L)^2$ is the so-called BMN coupling [45]. The auxiliary variables τ, $a_{\rm str}$ and $b_{\rm str}$ parameterize classical rotating string solution and verify the relations

$$a_{\rm str}=b_{\rm str}/\sqrt{1-\tau}\,,\qquad b_{\rm str}=\frac{1}{\mathbb{K}(\tau)}\left[\left(1-\frac{\lambda'}{a_{\rm str}^2}\right)\left(1-\frac{\lambda'}{b_{\rm str}^2}\right)\right]^{-1/2}. \tag{5.5}$$

Their substitution into (5.4) yields the anomalous dimension $\gamma_L(N)/L$ as a function of N/L through parametric dependence of both functions on the auxiliary modular parameter τ.

Let us apply (5.4) to reconstruct the corresponding function $f_L(N)$. In the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory, the defining relation (1.12) takes the form

$$\gamma_L(N) = f_L\left(N + \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_L(N)\right) . \tag{5.6}$$

We recall that the shift of the argument in the right-hand side of this relation takes into account renormalization of the conformal spin of the operators (5.1) in higher loops. The operators (5.1) have Lorentz spin N and canonical dimension $N+L$, so that their 'bare' conformal spin equals $j = N + \frac{1}{2}L$.

We have seen in the previous sections, that for the twist-two operators with large Lorentz spin N the function $f_{L=2}(N)$ admits asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of the $SL(2)$ Casimir $J^2 = j(j-1)$. In the case under consideration, an important difference as compared with the twist two is that, for $\lambda \gg 1$ and $N/\sqrt{\lambda}$, $L/\sqrt{\lambda}$ = fixed, both the Lorentz spin N and the twist L are necessarily large and, therefore, discussing large N asymptotics of $f_L(N)$ one has to distinguish two different limits $N \gg L \gg 1$ and $L \gg N \gg 1$. We will see in a moment that the function $f_L(N)$ has the parity preserving property in the former limit only. Introducing the scaling variable $\alpha = N/L$ (not to be confused with the physical coupling (3.12)), one finds the quadratic Casimir for the operators (5.1) as

$$J^2 = L^2\big(\alpha+\tfrac{1}{2}\big)^2\left[1 - \frac{1}{L\big(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\big)}\right] = L^2\big(\alpha+\tfrac{1}{2}\big)^2\left[1+\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\lambda})\right] . \tag{5.7}$$

We expect that in the scaling limit, $\lambda \gg 1$ and α = fixed, the function $f_L(N)$ should admit asymptotic expansion in integer negative powers of J^2, or equivalently in even negative powers of $(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})$. To verify this property, we shall apply Eqs. (5.4) – (5.6) to reconstruct the large N expansion of $f(N)$ and, then, examine the resulting series for different values of $\alpha = N/L$.

The coupling constant enters into the right-hand side of both relations in (5.4) through the BMN coupling $\lambda' = g^2 N_c/(\pi L)^2$. Assuming that the modular parameter τ admits a regular expansion in powers of λ' [12]

$$\tau = \tau^{(0)} + \lambda'\,\tau^{(1)} + \dots , \tag{5.8}$$

one finds from the second relation in (5.4) for $\lambda' = 0$

$$\alpha + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathbb{E}(\tau^{(0)})}{\sqrt{1-\tau^{(0)}}\mathbb{K}(\tau^{(0)})} . \tag{5.9}$$

Examining subleading $\sim(\lambda')^k$ corrections to (5.4) one can express $\tau^{(k)}-$coefficients in terms of the leading one $\tau^{(0)}$. Then, substitution of (5.8) into the first relation in (5.4) yields asymptotic expansion of the anomalous dimension in powers of the BMN coupling [48, 51] [13]

$$\gamma_L(N) = L\left[\lambda'\gamma^{(0)} + (\lambda')^2\gamma^{(1)} + \dots\right] . \tag{5.10}$$

The first few coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{K}(\tau^{(0)})\left[(2-\tau^{(0)})\mathbb{K}(\tau^{(0)}) - 2\mathbb{E}(\tau^{(0)})\right] ,\\
\gamma^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{8}\mathbb{K}^3(\tau^{(0)})\left[\Big(4(2-\tau^{(0)})\sqrt{1-\tau^{(0)}} - (\tau^{(0)})^2\Big)\mathbb{K}(\tau^{(0)}) - 8\sqrt{1-\tau^{(0)}}\mathbb{E}(\tau^{(0)})\right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

[12] As was shown in Refs. [51, 52], this assumption is only justified for $\lambda' \ln^2(N/L) < 1$.

[13] The one-loop quantum string corrections to the energy of folded rotating string (5.3) break the BMN scaling of the anomalous dimension [50].

The relations (5.9) – (5.11) define parametric dependence of the anomalous dimension $\gamma_L(N)$ on the rescaled Lorentz spin $\alpha = N/L$. The leading $\sim \lambda'$ term in (5.10) coincides with the one-loop expression for the minimal anomalous dimension of the operators (5.1) in the thermodynamical limit $L \gg 1$ [48, 51].

Let us combine together the relations (5.6) and (5.10) and determine the function $f_L(N)$. We find that $f_L(N)$ also admits an expansion in powers of the BMN coupling

$$f_L(N) = L\left[\lambda' f^{(0)} + (\lambda')^2 f^{(1)} + \dots\right] \tag{5.12}$$

with the coefficients related to (5.11) as

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(0)} &= \gamma^{(0)}\,, \\ f^{(1)} &= \gamma^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{(0)}\frac{\partial_{\tau^{(0)}}\gamma^{(0)}}{\partial_{\tau^{(0)}}\alpha} = -\frac{1}{8}\mathbb{K}^4(\tau^{(0)})\big(\tau^{(0)}\big)^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

We observe a remarkable simplification of $f^{(1)}$ as compared to $\gamma^{(1)}$, Eq. (5.11). Let us examine the expressions for $\gamma_L(N)$ and $f_L(N)$ for different values of $\alpha = N/L$.

$L \gg N \gg 1$

In this limit, for $\alpha \ll 1$, one finds from (5.9) that $\alpha = \frac{1}{32}\big(\tau^{(0)}\big)^2 + \frac{1}{32}\big(\tau^{(0)}\big)^3 + \dots$ with $\tau^{(0)} \ll 1$. Expanding (5.10) and (5.12) in powers of $\tau^{(0)}$ one gets the leading term

$$\gamma^{(0)} = f^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\,\pi^2\left[\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 + \frac{3}{8}\alpha^3 + \dots\right], \tag{5.14}$$

and the first subleading correction

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{8}\,\pi^4\left[\alpha + 2\,\alpha^2 - \frac{11}{4}\alpha^3 + \dots\right], \\ f^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{4}\,\pi^4\left[\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\alpha^2 - \frac{3}{8}\alpha^3 + \dots\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

We observe that the two functions, $\gamma_L(N)$ and $f_L(N)$, vanish for $\alpha \to 0$. Expansion of $f_L(N)$ involves all powers of $\alpha = N/L$ and does not reveal any symmetry.[14]

$N \gg L \gg 1$

In this limit, for $\alpha \gg 1$, one finds from (5.9) that $\tau^{(0)} \to 1$. Denoting $\tau^{(0)} = 1 - 16z^2$ one obtains from (5.9) for $z \to 0$

$$\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{z}\left[\frac{1}{8\ell} + \frac{2\,\ell^2 - 2\,\ell + 1}{2\ell^2}z^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(z^4\right)\right], \tag{5.16}$$

with $\ell = \ln(1/z)$. One notices that the series inside the square brackets in the right-hand side of (5.16) only involves even powers of z. Therefore, inverting (5.16) one obtains z as a series in *odd* negative powers of $(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})$ with the expansion coefficients depending on $\ln(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})$.

[14] The situation is similar to that for the one-loop anomalous dimension of twist-two operators in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, Eq. (2.20). The anomalous dimension $\gamma_0(N) = 4[\psi(N+1) - \psi(1)]$ vanishes for $N = 0$, its expansion around $N = 0$ involves all powers of N but its large N expansion runs in integer powers of $1/(N(N+1))$.

Replacing $\tau^{(0)} = 1 - 16z^2$ in (5.11) and (5.13) and expanding the resulting expressions around $z = 0$ one gets the leading term

$$\gamma^{(0)} = f^{(0)} = \ell^2 \left[\frac{\ell - 2}{2\ell} + 4\frac{\ell^2 - \ell + 1}{\ell^2} z^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(z^4\right) \right], \tag{5.17}$$

as well as the subleading corrections to the anomalous dimension $\gamma_L(N)$, Eq. (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(1)} &= \ell^4 \left[-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{2\,(\ell-2)}{\ell} z + \frac{2\,(\ell+1)}{\ell} z^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(z^3\right) \right], \\ \gamma^{(2)} &= \ell^6 \left[\frac{1}{16}\,\frac{\ell+1}{\ell-1} - \frac{(3\,\ell-5)}{2(\ell-1)} z - \frac{4\ell^4 - 43\ell^3 + 137\ell^2 - 160\ell + 61}{2\ell\,(\ell-1)^2} z^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(z^3\right) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

and to the function $f_L(N)$, Eq. (5.12),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(1)} &= \ell^4 \left[-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{2(\ell+1)}{\ell} z^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(z^4\right) \right], \\ f^{(2)} &= \ell^6 \left[\frac{1}{16}\,\frac{\ell+1}{\ell-1} - \frac{\ell^3 + \ell^2 - 3}{2\ell\,(\ell-1)^2} z^2 + O\left(z^4\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Here as compared with (5.11) and (5.13) we also included the next-to-subleading correction to the functions (5.10) and (5.12).

As follows from (5.17), expansion of the leading order term, $\gamma^{(0)} = f^{(0)}$, runs in even powers of $z \sim (\alpha + \frac{1}{2})^{-1}$. Going over to subleading terms, Eqs. (5.18) and (5.19), one finds that $\gamma^{(k)}$ and $f^{(k)}$ (with $k = 1, 2, ...$) coincide at the level of $\sim z^0$ corrections but deviate otherwise. Remarkably enough, the functions $f^{(k)}$ do not contain odd powers of z while they are present in $\gamma^{(k)}$. Together with (5.16) this implies that, in agreement with our expectations, the large α expansion of the function $f_L(N)$ runs in even negative powers of $(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})$ only.

The relations (5.18) and (5.19) were derived under tacit assumption that the coefficient functions entering (5.10) and (5.12) are uniformly bounded functions of $\alpha = N/L$. Examining the expressions (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19) one finds that for $N \gg L$, or equivalently $\ell \to \infty$, this assumption is justified provided that $\lambda' \ell^2 \ll 1$, or equivalently $(\lambda/L^2) \ln^2(N/L) \ll 1$. In the opposite limit, for $\lambda' \ell^2 \gg 1$, one finds that the leading corrections $\sim (\lambda' \ell^2)^n$ to (5.12) can be resummed to all orders [51]

$$f_L(N) = L \left[\sqrt{1 + \lambda' \ell^2} - 1 \right] + \ldots \sim \frac{\sqrt{g^2 N_c}}{\pi} \ln N + \ldots, \tag{5.20}$$

where $\lambda' = g^2 N_c/(\pi L)^2$ and ellipses denote terms suppressed by powers of $\ell \sim \ln(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})$. We notice that despite the fact that each term of the expansion is suppressed by inverse power of L, the resummed expression does not depend on the twist L and exhibits a well-known logarithmic scaling [30]. For the twist-two anomalous dimensions, this scaling behaviour arises for $N \gg 1$. Specific feature of operators of higher twist $L \gg 1$ is that the logarithmic scaling (5.20) sets up for much larger values of the Lorentz spin such that

$$\frac{\lambda}{L^2} \ln^2 \frac{N}{L} \gg 1\,. \tag{5.21}$$

In this kinematical region, analysis becomes more involved due to a complicated form of the defining relations (5.4) and (5.5).

There is however a much simpler way to understand analytical properties of the function $f_L(N)$. Let us consider the relation (5.6) and rewrite it using (5.4) as

$$f_L\left(\frac{x(\tau)L}{2}\right) = L\left[\mathbb{K}(\tau)\, b_{\rm str}(\tau) - \mathbb{E}(\tau)\frac{\lambda'}{b_{\rm str}(\tau)} - 1\right], \tag{5.22}$$

where x is a function of the modular parameter τ

$$x(\tau) = \mathbb{E}(\tau)\, a_{\rm str}(\tau) - \mathbb{K}(\tau)\frac{\lambda'}{a_{\rm str}(\tau)} - 1\,, \tag{5.23}$$

with $a_{\rm str} = b_{\rm str}/\sqrt{1-\tau}$ and $b_{\rm str}$ defined in (5.5). We expect that for large Lorentz spin N the asymptotic expansion of the function $f_L(N)$ should run in integer negative powers of $J^2 = j^2\big[1+\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\lambda})\big]$, Eq. (5.7), with $j = N + \frac{1}{2}L$ being the conformal spin. Substituting N with $\frac{1}{2}x(\tau)L$ as in (5.22), one finds that the conformal spin takes the form

$$j = \frac{L}{2}\,(x(\tau)+1) = \frac{L}{2}\left[\mathbb{E}(\tau)\, a_{\rm str}(\tau) - \lambda'\frac{\mathbb{K}(\tau)}{a_{\rm str}(\tau)}\right]. \tag{5.24}$$

To verify the parity preserving property (1.17) for f_L it is sufficient to check that the right-hand side of (5.22) stays invariant under transformation $j \to -j$ modulo '$i\pi$'–contribution from $\ln j$ terms. Let us show that this transformation corresponds to going around $\tau = 1$ in the complex $\tau-$plane in the right-hand side of (5.24). According to (5.16), the limit $N \gg L$ corresponds to $\tau \to 1$. Let us introduce a new variable $\tau = 1 - 16z^2$ and examine the relations (5.22) and (5.24) for $z \to 0$. One first verifies that the elliptic functions $\mathbb{K}(\tau)$ and $\mathbb{E}(\tau)$ have logarithmic branch cuts that start at $\tau = 1$. Their expansion around $z = 0$ runs in even powers of z^2 with the coefficients linear in $\ln z$. Examining the relations (5.5) one finds that $b_{\rm str}$ has similar analytical properties around $\tau = 1$, while $a_{\rm str}$ has additional square-root singularity $a_{\rm str} = b_{\rm str}/\sqrt{1-\tau} = b_{\rm str}/(4z)$. Therefore, going around $\tau = 1$ in the complex $\tau-$plane, or equivalently replacing $z \to -z$, one finds that, modulo contribution from logarithmic cuts, the functions $\mathbb{K}(\tau)$, $\mathbb{E}(\tau)$ and $b_{\rm str}$ stay invariant while $a_{\rm str}$ changes a sign. Going back to (5.22) and (5.24), we conclude that the function $f_L(N)$ is indeed invariant under $j \to -j$ and, therefore, it has the parity preserving property.

6. Conclusions

Anomalous dimensions of Wilson operators with large Lorentz spin N admit an asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of N. The leading term of the expansion scales logarithmically with the spin and is related to the universal cusp anomalous dimension. The subleading, power suppressed, corrections to the anomalous dimensions are not universal and depend on the operator under consideration. Motivated by findings of Refs. [6, 2, 3, 5], we argued in this paper that the subleading corrections satisfy infinite number of coupled relations. They allow one to reconstruct the corrections to the anomalous dimensions suppressed by odd powers of $J = \sqrt{N(N+1)}$ in terms of the coefficients accompanying smaller even powers of J to less number of loops. Remarkably enough, these relations take the same form for different twist-two operators even though they relate to each other quantities that are operator dependent.

We demonstrated that the above-mentioned properties of the subleading corrections naturally follow from the parity preserving property of the scaling function $f(N)$. This function is

related to the anomalous dimension $\gamma(N)$ through the functional relation (1.14) which generalizes similar relation proposed in [6, 5]. It incorporates the constraints imposed by the conformal invariance and takes into account the conformal symmetry breaking corrections to the anomalous dimensions due to nonzero beta-function. We would like to stress that the functional relation (1.14) taken alone does not tell us much about the properties of the anomalous dimensions unless it is supplemented by additional condition for the function $f(N)$. The latter is just the parity preserving property of the scaling function $f(N)$, Eq. (1.17).

It is important to keep in mind that the anomalous dimensions $\gamma(N)$ and the scaling functions $f(N)$ have (Regge) singularities at negative N, and therefore they cannot be invariant under the transformation $N \to -1-N$, or equivalently $J \to -J$. The parity preserving property (1.17) only holds true for each individual term in the asymptotic series for $f(N)$ and the Regge singularities manifest themselves through a factorial growth of the expansion coefficients at higher orders in $1/J$. It is interesting to note that the parity respecting relation is not a unique feature of gauge theories. Using the four-loop result for the twist-two anomalous dimension in scalar ϕ^4-theory [53], we verified that the corresponding scaling function $f(N)$ has the same form as (1.17) with the only difference that the leading $\ln \bar{J}$ term is missing.

In a gauge theory with nonzero beta-function, both the anomalous dimensions and the scaling functions are scheme-dependent. In our analysis, we employed the dimensional regularization/reduction scheme because the beta-function contribution to the anomalous dimension can be described in this scheme by going over to the 'critical' number of space-time dimensions $d_{\rm cr} = 4 - 2\varepsilon_{\rm cr}$ in which the underlying gauge theory becomes conformal [29]. The change of the scheme corresponds to a finite renormalization of the Wilson operator, say $\mathcal{O}_N^{(\rm R)}(0) = Z(N)\mathcal{O}_N(0)$. Then, the anomalous dimension in a new scheme reads $\gamma_S^{(\rm R)}(N) = \gamma_S(N) - \beta(\lambda)\partial_{\ln\lambda} \ln Z(N)$, so that the properties of $\gamma_S^{(\rm R)}(N)$ at large N are now governed by the scheme-dependent factor $Z(N)$. Substituting $\gamma_S^{(\rm R)}(N)$ into (3.7) one can reconstruct the corresponding scaling function $f^{(\rm R)}(N) = f(N) + \mathcal{O}(\beta(\lambda))$ and verify that it does not satisfy (1.17) for generic $Z(N)$. However, imposing (1.17) as a condition for $Z(N)$, one can define the renormalization scheme in which the subleading corrections to the anomalous dimensions still verify the relations discussed above.

In this paper, we used explicit expressions for the two-loop and three-loop anomalous dimensions of twist two in QCD and in SYM theories to verify the parity preserving relation (1.17). One may wonder whether the same property holds true for the anomalous dimensions of higher twist. Going over to higher twists, one finds that, in general, there are few operators carrying the same conformal spin. In distinction with the twist two, the size of their mixing matrix grows with N and its eigenvalues can not be written in explicit form as functions of N. This makes the large N analysis of higher-twist anomalous dimensions extremely difficult. Tremendous simplification occurs for the special subclass of quasipartonic operators due to hidden integrability symmetry of their mixing matrix in the planar ('t Hooft) limit. [15] In QCD and in SYM theories with $\mathcal{N} = 0, 1, 2$ supercharges, integrability arises for the so-called maximally helicity operators [56, 34] while in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory it gets extended to a larger class of operators [57]. Making use of the integrability, one can work out a systematic large N expansion of higher-twist anomalous dimensions in the planar approximation. In this way, one finds [58, 51] that for the so-called single-trace operators built from L fundamental fields belonging to the adjoint representation of the $SU(N_c)$ group and carrying the conformal spin s ($s = \frac{1}{2}$ for scalars, $s = 1$ for gaugino and $s = \frac{3}{2}$ for gauge strength tensor), the asymptotic expansion of the one-loop anomalous dimen-

[15] Integrability phenomenon in four-dimensional Yang-Mills theories has been first discovered in Refs. [54, 55] in context of high-energy (Regge) asymptotics of the scattering amplitudes.

sion runs in integer negative powers of the conformal Casimir $J^2 = (N + Ls)(N + Ls - 1)$. It would be interesting to extend analysis to higher orders in the coupling constant and to verify the parity respecting relation for the scaling function $f_L(N)$ in higher loops. The gauge/string correspondence suggests that it should hold true at least for the minimal anomalous dimension in the strong coupling regime in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory for higher twists and large spins such that $N \gg L \gg 1$.

Note added: When this paper was in writing we learned from Yuri Dokshitzer and Pino Marchesini that they studied the reciprocity respecting equation (1.5) in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory and analyzed the properties of the corresponding three-loop scaling function $f(N)$ in important kinematical limits. Our results agree with theirs [59] as far as the parity respecting property (1.17) and the structure of the large N expansion of $f(N)$, Eq. (3.13), are concerned.

Acknowledgements

We are most grateful to S. Moch for collaboration at an early stage of the project. We would like to thank Yu. Dokshitzer, E. Gardi, A. Gorsky, A. Manashov, G. Marchesini and A. Mueller for interesting discussions and J. Gracey for useful correspondence. The work was supported in part by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche under grant ANR-06-BLAN-0142-02.

A Large N expansion of the anomalous dimensions

In this appendix we present expressions for the large N expansion of various twist-two anomalous dimensions $\gamma_S(N)$ and the corresponding functions $f(N)$. They were obtained in collaboration with S. Moch. The anomalous dimensions are given by a series (2.3) in the coupling constant λ defined in (2.2). At large N their leading asymptotic behaviour is

$$\gamma_S(N) = 2\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\lambda) \ln \bar{N} + \mathcal{O}(N^0)\,, \tag{A.1}$$

with the cusp anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\lambda)$ depending on the gauge theory under consideration. Perturbative expansion of $\gamma_S(N)$ can be simplified by using the 'physical' coupling [40], $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\lambda)$. Then, the relations (2.3) and (3.2) can be rewritten in terms of this coupling as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_S(N) &= \alpha\big(4\ln\bar{N} + \gamma_{0,\rm ph}\big) + \alpha^2\gamma_{1,\rm ph} + \alpha^3\gamma_{2,\rm ph} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)\,, \\ f(N) &= \alpha\big(2\ln\bar{J}^2 + f_{0,\rm ph}\big) + \alpha^2 f_{1,\rm ph} + \alpha^3 f_{2,\rm ph} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

where $\bar{N} = N\,{\rm e}^{\gamma_{\rm E}}$, $\bar{J} = J\,{\rm e}^{\gamma_{\rm E}}$ and $J^2 = N(N+1)$ is the conformal Casimir. Here, the $\gamma_{\rm ph}-$coefficients are given by series in integer negative powers of N while the $f_{\rm ph}-$coefficients admit expansion in *even* negative powers of J only, Eq. (1.17).

The multi-loop twist-two anomalous dimensions $\gamma_S(N)$ are expressed in terms of generalized harmonic (Euler-Zagier) sums. In QCD, they also depend on the $SU(N_c)$ color and $SU(n_f)$ flavour factors and their explicit expressions are cumbersome [2, 3]. In the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, to three-loop accuracy, the perturbative coefficients in (A.2) are N_c-independent and, as a consequence, they are much simpler as compared to the QCD case. For the sake of simplicity, we shall present below the explicit expressions (A.2) in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory and outline a difference as

compared with the QCD expressions. In $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM the anomalous dimensions of all twist-two operators are given by the same, universal function of N [39]. To three-loop accuracy, the cusp anomalous dimension, or equivalently the physical coupling constant is given by (3.12). Expanding the three-loop result of Ref. [39] at large N and matching it with the first relation in (A.2) one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{0,\rm ph} &= 2\,N^{-1} - \tfrac{1}{3}\,N^{-2} + \tfrac{1}{30}\,N^{-4} + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-6}\right)\,,\\
\gamma_{1,\rm ph} &= -6\,\zeta_3 + \left(8\,\ln\bar{N}\right)N^{-1} + \left(6 - 4\,\ln\bar{N}\right)N^{-2}\\
&+ \left(\tfrac{4}{3}\,\ln\bar{N} - \tfrac{14}{3}\right)N^{-3} + 4\,N^{-4} + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-5}\right)\,,\\
\gamma_{2,\rm ph} &= \left(-\tfrac{4}{3}\,\pi^2\zeta_3 + 20\,\zeta_5\right) - 12\,\zeta_3\,N^{-1}\\
&+ \left(-8\,(\ln\bar{N})^2 + \left(-\tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2 + 16\right)\ln\bar{N} + \tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2 + 6\,\zeta_3\right)N^{-2}\\
&+ \left(8\,\left(\ln\bar{N}\right)^2 + \left(\tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2 - 32\right)\ln\bar{N} + 12 - \pi^2 - 2\,\zeta_3\right)N^{-3}\\
&+ \left(-4\,(\ln\bar{N})^2 + \tfrac{110}{3}\,\ln\bar{N} - \tfrac{70}{3} + \tfrac{13}{18}\,\pi^2\right)N^{-4} + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-5}\right)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

One evaluates the corresponding function $f(N)$ with a help of Eqs. (3.7) and (3.3) and, then, expands it in inverse powers of $J^2 = N(N+1)$ to get from (A.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{0,\rm ph} &= \tfrac{2}{3}\,J^{-2} - \tfrac{2}{15}\,J^{-4} + \mathcal{O}\left(J^{-6}\right)\,,\\
f_{1,\rm ph} &= -6\,\zeta_3 + 2\,J^{-2} + J^{-4} + \mathcal{O}\left(J^{-6}\right)\,,\\
f_{2,\rm ph} &= \left(20\,\zeta_5 - \tfrac{4}{3}\,\pi^2\zeta_3\right) + \left(-\tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2\ln\bar{J} + \tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2\right)J^{-2}\\
&+ \left(\left(6 + \tfrac{2}{3}\,\pi^2\right)\ln\bar{J} - \tfrac{4}{9}\,\pi^2 - 2\right)J^{-4} + \mathcal{O}\left(J^{-6}\right)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Examining these relations, one observes that for the anomalous dimension $\gamma_S(N)$ the coefficients in front of negative powers of N in the right-hand side of (A.3) are enhanced by powers of $\ln\bar{N}$ with the leading term $\gamma_{n,\rm ph} \sim \left(\ln\bar{N}/N\right)^n$ (for $n=1,2$). For the function $f(N)$ one finds that, in agreement with the parity preserving relation (1.17), its expansion runs in negative powers of J^2. Remarkably enough, the one-loop and two-loop coefficients, $f_{0,\rm ph}$ and $f_{1,\rm ph}$ respectively, do not involve logarithmically enhanced terms but they reappear starting from three loops $f_{2,\rm ph} \sim \ln\bar{J}/J^2 \sim \ln\bar{N}/N^2$ (to be compared with $\gamma_{2,\rm ph} \sim (\ln\bar{N})^2/N^2$).

Repeating similar analysis for the twist-two operators in QCD, we found that, in spite of the fact that the large N expressions for the functions $\gamma(N)$ and $f(N)$ are much more cumbersome, the $\sim \ln\bar{N}$ and $\sim \ln\bar{J}$ terms inside the $\gamma_{\rm ph}-$ and $f_{\rm ph}-$coefficients have the same properties as in the $\mathcal{N}=4$ theory for the following anomalous dimensions: nonsinglet unpolarized quark operators to three loops [2], quark transversity operator to two loops [38] and linearly polarized gluon operator to two loops [32].

For the singlet (un)polarized twist-two anomalous dimensions in QCD, the analysis becomes more cumbersome since one has to solve the characteristic equation (2.16) and, then, use its solutions, $\gamma_q(N)$ and $\gamma_g(N)$, to define the corresponding functions $f_q(N)$ and $f_g(N)$ with a help of (3.7) and (3.3). As in (2.17), it is more advantageous to analyze the properties of the functions $\widehat{f}_q(N) + \widehat{f}_g(N)$ and $\widehat{f}_q(N)\widehat{f}_g(N)$. Making use of (3.7) it is straightforward to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}_q + \widehat{f}_g &= \widehat{X} - \tfrac{1}{4}\Big(\widehat{X}^2 - 2\widehat{Y}\Big)' + \tfrac{1}{24}\Big(\widehat{X}^3 - 3\widehat{X}\widehat{Y}\Big)'' + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)\,,\\
\widehat{f}_q\widehat{f}_g &= \widehat{Y}\left[1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\widehat{X}' + \tfrac{1}{4}\big(\widehat{X}'\big)^2 + \tfrac{1}{8}\widehat{X}\widehat{X}'' - \tfrac{1}{8}\widehat{Y}''\right] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

where the notation was introduced for the functions

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{X}(N) &= \widehat{\gamma}_q + \widehat{\gamma}_g = X - 2\beta(\lambda)\,,\\ \widehat{Y}(N) &= \widehat{\gamma}_q\,\widehat{\gamma}_g = Y - X\beta(\lambda) + [\beta(\lambda)]^2\,,\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

with X and Y given in (2.17). In the right-hand side of these relations, the $N-$dependence of all functions (except of $\beta(\lambda)$) is tacitly assumed and prime denotes a derivative with respect to N. We verified by explicit calculation that for the three-loop singlet unpolarized anomalous dimensions [3] and the two-loop singlet polarized anomalous dimensions [33], the right-hand side of both relations in (A.5) has asymptotic expansion in integer powers of $1/J^2$. This implies that the corresponding functions f_q and f_g (or equivalently $\widehat{f}_q$ and $\widehat{f}_g$) possess the parity preserving property (1.17). The resulting expressions can be described as follows. The off-diagonal elements of the mixing matrix scale as γ^{gq}, $\gamma^{qg} \sim 1/J$ (see Eq. (2.18)) and they affect f_q and f_g at the level of $\mathcal{O}(J^{-2})$ corrections only. As a result, for both functions, f_q and f_g, the corresponding $f_{\rm ph}-$coefficients (A.2) take the form (A.4) with the only difference that the coefficients in front of $J^{-2}, J^{-4}, \ldots$ receive an additional contribution given by (an infinite) series in $1/\ln \bar{J}$.

References

[1] J.M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231;
S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 105;
E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253.

[2] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren, A. Vogt, Nucl. Phys. B 688 (2004) 101.

[3] A. Vogt, S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren, Nucl. Phys. B 691 (2004) 129.

[4] G.P. Korchemsky, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 4 (1989) 1257;
G.P. Korchemsky, G. Marchesini, Nucl. Phys. B 406 (1993) 225.

[5] Yu.L. Dokshitzer, G. Marchesini, G.P. Salam, Phys. Lett. B 634 (2006) 504;
G. Marchesini, arXiv:hep-ph/0605262.

[6] Yu.L. Dokshitzer, V.A. Khoze, S.I. Troian, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 89.

[7] A.V. Belitsky, G.P. Korchemsky, D. Müller, arXiv:hep-th/0605291.

[8] V.N. Gribov, L.N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15 (1972) 438.

[9] G. Altarelli, G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B 126 (1977) 298.

[10] Yu.L. Dokshitzer, Sov. Phys. JETP 46 (1977) 641.

[11] R.L. Jaffe, M. Soldate, Phys. Rev. D 26 (1982) 49.

[12] R. Brock *et al.* [CTEQ Collaboration], Rev. Mod. Phys. 67 (1995) 157.

[13] V.N. Gribov, L.N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15 (1972) 675.

[14] S.D. Drell, D.J. Levy, T.M. Yan, Phys. Rev. 187 (1969) 2159; Phys. Rev. D 1 (1970) 1617.

[15] G. Curci, W. Furmanski, R. Petronzio, Nucl. Phys. B 175 (1980) 27.

[16] W. Furmanski, R. Petronzio, Phys. Lett. B 97 (1980) 437.

[17] E.G. Floratos, C. Kounnas, R. Lacaze, Nucl. Phys. B 192 (1981) 41; Phys. Lett. B 98 (1981) 89, 285.

[18] M. Stratmann, W. Vogelsang, Nucl. Phys. B 496 (1997) 41.

[19] M. Stratmann, W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 057502.

[20] J. Blumlein, V. Ravindran, W.L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B 586 (2000) 349.

[21] A.H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B 228 (1983) 351.

[22] A. Mitov, S. Moch, A. Vogt, Phys. Lett. B 638 (2006) 61.

[23] A.P. Bukhvostov, G.V. Frolov, L.N. Lipatov, E.A. Kuraev, Nucl. Phys. B 258 (1985) 601.

[24] V.M. Braun, G.P. Korchemsky, D. Müller, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 51 (2003) 311.

[25] T. Ohrndorf, Nucl. Phys. B 198 (1982) 26.

[26] D. Müller, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 2525; Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 054005;
A.V. Belitsky, D. Müller, Nucl. Phys. B **537** (1999) 397.

[27] A.V. Belitsky, G.P. Korchemsky, D. Müller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 151603; Nucl. Phys. B 735 (2006) 17.

[28] A.N. Vasil'ev, Y.M. Pis'mak, Yu.R. Khonkonen, Theor. Math. Phys. 46 (1981) 104; 47 (1981) 465; 50 (1982) 127.

[29] A.N. Vasil'ev, *The Field Theoretic Renormalization Group in Critical Behavior Theory and Stochastic Dynamics*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[30] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B 636 (2002) 99.

[31] S. Frolov, A.A. Tseytlin, J. High Ener. Phys. 0206 (2002) 007.

[32] W. Vogelsang, Acta Phys. Polon. B 29 (1998) 1189.

[33] R. Mertig, W.L. van Neerven, Z. Phys. C 70 (1996) 637;
W. Vogelsang, Nucl. Phys. B 475 (1996) 47; Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 2023.

[34] A.V. Belitsky, S.E. Derkachov, G.P. Korchemsky, A.N. Manashov, Phys. Lett. B 594 (2004) 385; Nucl. Phys. B 708 (2005) 115; Nucl. Phys. B 722 (2005) 191.

[35] S. Mandelstam, Nucl. Phys. B 213 (1983) 149; Phys. Lett. B 121 (1983) 30;
L. Brink, O. Lindgren, B.E. Nilsson, Nucl. Phys. B 212 (1983) 401; Phys Lett. B 123 (1983) 323.

[36] S.J. Gates, M.T. Grisaru, M. Rocek, W. Siegel, *Superspace, or one thousand and one lessons in supersymmetry*, Front. Phys. 58 (1983) 1;
M.F. Sohnius, Phys. Rept. 128 (1985) 39.

[37] F.A. Dolan, H. Osborn, Nucl. Phys. B 629 (2002) 3.

[38] S. Kumano, M. Miyama, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 2504;
W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 1886;
A. Hayashigaki, Y. Kanazawa, Y. Koike, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 7350

[39] A.V. Kotikov, L.N. Lipatov, A.I. Onishchenko, V.N. Velizhanin, Phys. Lett. B 595 (2004) 521 [Erratum-ibid. B 632 (2006) 754].

[40] S. Catani, G. Marchesini, B.R. Webber, Nucl. Phys. B 349 (1991) 635.

[41] J.A. Gracey, Phys. Lett. B 322 (1994) 141; Nucl. Phys. B 480 (1996) 73.

[42] E. Gardi, JHEP 0502 (2005) 053.

[43] J.A. Gracey, Nucl. Phys. B 667 (2003) 242.

[44] J.F. Bennett, J.A. Gracey, Nucl. Phys. B 517 (1998) 241; Phys. Lett. B 432 (1998) 209.

[45] D. Berenstein, J.M. Maldacena, H. Nastase, J. High Ener. Phys. 0204 (2002) 013.

[46] A.A. Tseytlin, *in* Ian Kogan Memorial Volume, 'From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics', M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, and J. Wheater, eds. (World Scientific, 2004), p.1648; arXiv:hep-th/0311139.

[47] A.V. Belitsky, V.M. Braun, A.S. Gorsky, G.P. Korchemsky, *in* Ian Kogan Memorial Volume, 'From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics', M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, and J. Wheater, eds. (World Scientific, 2004), p.266; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19 (2004) 4715.

[48] N. Beisert, S. Frolov, M. Staudacher, A.A. Tseytlin, J. High Ener. Phys. 0310 (2003) 037.

[49] V.A. Kazakov, K. Zarembo, J. High Ener. Phys. 0410 (2004) 060.

[50] S. Frolov, A. Tirziu, A.A. Tseytlin, arXiv:hep-th/0611269.

[51] A.V. Belitsky, A.S. Gorsky, G.P. Korchemsky, Nucl. Phys. B 748 (2006) 24.

[52] K. Sakai, Y. Satoh, arXiv:hep-th/0607190.

[53] S.E. Derkachov, J.A. Gracey, A.N. Manashov, Eur. Phys. J. C 2 (1998) 569.

[54] L.N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 394; JETP Lett. 59 (1994) 596.

[55] L.D. Faddeev, G.P. Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. B 342 (1995) 311.

[56] V.M. Braun, S.E. Derkachov, A.N. Manashov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 2020;
V.M. Braun, S.E. Derkachov, G.P. Korchemsky, A.N. Manashov, Nucl. Phys. B 553 (1999) 355;
A.V. Belitsky, Phys. Lett. B 453 (1999) 59; Nucl. Phys. B 558 (1999) 259.

[57] L.N. Lipatov, *Evolution equations in QCD*, in Perspectives in Hadronic Physics, eds. S. Boffi, C. Ciofi Degli Atti, M. Giannini, World Scientific (Singapore, 1998) p. 413;
J.A. Minahan, K. Zarembo, J. High Ener. Phys. 0303 (2003) 013;
N. Beisert, M. Staudacher, Nucl. Phys. B 670 (2003) 439.

[58] G.P. Korchemsky, Nucl. Phys. B 498 (1997) 68.

[59] Yu.L. Dokshitzer, G. Marchesini, arXiv:hep-th/0612248.